AGENDA Aurora City Council Meeting

Tuesday, March 12, 2013, at 7:00 P.M. City Council Chambers, Aurora City Hall 21420 Main St. NE, Aurora, OR 97002

1. Call to Order of the City Council Meeting

2. City Recorder Calls Roll

Mayor Taylor Councilor Graupp Councilor Brotherton Councilor Sahlin Councilor Vlcek

3. Consent Agenda

- I. City Council Meeting Minutes February 12, 2013
- II. Planning Commission Meeting Minutes February 05, 2013
- III. Historic Review Board Minutes –January 2013

Correspondence

- I. League or Oregon Cities HB Summary
- II. Land Use Bills.
- III. Marion County Board of Commissioners Invite to Business Meeting
- IV. BHNS News letter House Summary on Health Care.

4. Visitors

Anyone wishing to address the City Council concerning items not already on the meeting agenda may do so in this section. No decision or action will be made, but the City Council could look into the matter and provide some response in the future.

- 5. Discussion with Parks Committee
- 6. Discussion with Traffic Safety Commission
- 7. Reports
 - A. Marion County Deputy Report (included in your packet)

Aurora City Council Agenda

March 12, 2013

This is a public meeting and all interested citizens are invited to attend. The meeting place is not handicapped accessible; those needing assistance should contact the city Office three (3) working days before regularly scheduled meetings. The minutes of this and all public meetings are available at City Hall during regular business hours. All meetings are audio taped and may be video taped

- B. **Finance Officer's Report Financials** (not included in your packets)
 - 1. Revenue & Expense Report
 - 2. Appointment of Budget Officer
- C. **Public Works Department's Report** (included in your packet)
 - **1.** Monthly Status Report (Storm Water)
 - 2. Monthly Status Report (Water)
 - A. Waste Water Treatment Plant Update (from Otis Phillips, (included in your packet)
- D. City Recorder's Report (included in your packet)
- **E.** City Attorney's Report (not Included in your packet)
 - Rodger Eddy update
 - Discussion on possible Saturday Market
- 8. Ordinances and Resolutions
 - **A. Discussion and or Action on Ordinance 469** AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR AN AMENDMENT TO THE CITY OF AURORA COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF THAT CERTAIN PROPERTY OWNED BY ANTHONY AND GAYLE FIDANZO, WHICH IS WITHIN THE CITY'S URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY AND ABUTS THE CORPORATE CITY LIMITS.
 - Second reading and passage or fail
 - B. Discussion and or Action on Resolution Number 664 Updating the current Planning and Zoning Fee Schedule.
 - C. Discussion and or Action on Ordinance Number 470 Adopting Franchise Agreement Extension with Portland General Electric.
- 9. New Business
 - A. Discussion and or Action on PGE Franchise Agreement renewal
 - B. Discussion and or Action on Renewal of Tripple T contract for Park
 - C. Discussion and or Action on joining the North Marion Enterprise Zone.
- 10. Old Business
 - A. Discussion and or Action on the 99E Planter Strips.
- 11. Adjourn

Aurora City Council Agenda

March 12, 2013

This is a public meeting and all interested citizens are invited to attend. The meeting place is not handicapped accessible; those needing assistance should contact the city Office three (3) working days before regularly scheduled meetings. The minutes of this and all public meetings are available at City Hall during regular business hours. All meetings are audio taped and may be video taped

Minutes Aurora City Council Meeting

Tuesday, February 12, 2013, at 7:00 P.M. City Council Chambers, Aurora City Hall 21420 Main St. NE, Aurora, OR 97002

STAFF PRESENT: Kelly Richardson, City Recorder

Jan Vlcek, Finance Officer

Pete Marcellais, Marion County Deputy Otis Phillips, Waste Water Superintendent

STAFF ABSENT: Bob Southard, Water Superintendent

VISITORS PRESENT: Kris Sallee, Aurora

Phil Hankins, Aurora Charles Donald, Aurora Steve Lewis, Aurora Rodger Eddy, Portland Lori Sahlin, Aurora

1. Call to Order of the City Council Meeting

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Greg Taylor at 7:00 p.m.

2. Administrative assistant does Roll Call

Mayor Taylor – present Councilor Graupp - present Councilor Brotherton -absent Councilor Sahlin – present, came in after roll call Councilor Vlcek - present

3. Consent Agenda

- I. City Council Meeting Minutes January 08, 2013
- II. Planning Commission Meeting Minutes January 03, 2013
- **III.** Historic Review Board Minutes –December 13, 2012

No comments on minutes

Correspondence

I. Pudding River Watershed Council Report

- **II. LCDC Meeting Notice**
- III. League of Oregon Cities Overview of Services Provided.
- IV. STIP information sheet
- V. Urban Project List Aurora Informational

Motion to approve consent agenda was made by Councilor Vlcek and seconded by Councilor Graupp. Motion passes.

4. Visitors

Anyone wishing to address the City Council concerning items not already on the meeting agenda may do so in this section. No decision or action will be made, but the City Council could look into the matter and provide some response in the future.

Guy Sperb, Aurora, addresses Mayor and Council for discussion about the planting strips along 99E, virtually nothing has been done with them. I don't know the maintenance plan for the city but it's not working, we sponsored one of the benches after my father died and I have tried to keep it up. There should be some type of routine maintenance happening to keep these up.

I don't have an answer but we should talk about it. Mayor addresses this he agrees that it's out of control, from my own personal opinion it was a mistake to plant the strips in the first place, I will talk to triple T they currently maintain the City Park. Karen Townsend chimes in and informs everyone that the individual property owners are responsible for maintenance of the planter strips, this was a requirement when the work along 99E was done by ODOT. It is very clear who keeps up the strips and who does not. Councilor Vlcek is this something that can go under the parks committee and Mayor Taylor states that it should be contracted out and you're not going to get owners to help maintain these strips as history shows.

Finance Officer Vlcek points out that the city doesn't have the funds to contract this out.. Karen Townsend asks, is there some reason they can't keep it up. They just need to be reminded that it's their responsibility. City Recorder Richardson speaks up and states that the city has informed the property owners many times with no avail.

We can notify everyone on the strip, but I still think that asking Triple T is our first step. Councilor Sahlin will talk to parks committee.

Karen Townsend, informs the council about the recent contact with the producer for community of distinction from fox news it's very much an economic development segment I have talked to them and provided many links it does cost 20,000 for this it is a bit premature on this since you don't have a set agenda yet for this. I just wanted to provide you with the information.

Charles Donald, 14736 Albers Way, moving the light on Albers Way, I did have a short chat about this situation With Bob Southard and he informed me there will be a cost of 2,000 dollars to move the light. Councilor Sahlin asks them to check in next month please we

have been very busy with the water line project up on Airport Rd. It will need to be hand dug because of where it is located. I indicated that where he is digging that it would be right on the sewer line. Mayor Taylor it is still on track. We are a few years into this however and we do apologize for that.

Councilor Sahlin I would add that it would be 3 to 4 weeks out and I think we need to stop giving deadlines and not make them.

5. Discussion with Parks Committee

6. Discussion with Traffic Safety Commission

7. Reports

- **A.** Police Chief's Report (not included in your packet) as you saw last month here is some of our pie charts to generate these statistics. Our IT dept is working on the logistics for this so we can report the information requested.
 - 17 calls most of which were on Monday and Tuesday and 7 of them were not taken by me so other deputies are coming out to respond.
 - I have made contact with Traffic Safety Committee former members we have a meeting scheduled, I have invited Marion County Traffic team along with ODOT.
 - The first discussion will be about the 99E crosswalk by the post office I hope to get lights at that crosswalk.
 - We brought out the speed trailer and placed it on Ottaway and 1600 vehicles drove down Ottaway the average speed was 23 miles per hour and 33 was the highest so the speed problem is just not there. We are getting speed signs put up again.
 - Now that we have a Traffic Safety Committee we will address other areas around town to see what other needs are. First on the list will be Main Street it's like a drag strip.
 - Working with Marion County school on safety and lock down drills
 - We will start a national night out it's a community event Marion County does we answer questions for the community and just have a good time.
 - There were no submissions for the Aurora patch and so I have a the County is working on a design I have about three to look at.

Councilor Graupp informed Councilors that at the school board meeting we were recognized for having our officer attend to the school safety needs.

Councilor Vlcek explains with court last week I spoke to Sergeant Cornforth about the fact that Pete has a history with court for Marion County and we will not be charged for the time away from Aurora and we will only be charged for the time he is here.

No more questions.

B. **Finance Officer's Report – Financials** (included in your packets)

- 1. **Revenue & Expense Report**, The report looks good and very straight forward.
 - ➤ I have a proposed budget calendar the nights I am proposing are on Wednesday evenings except the public hearing. I have a list of our members and I have not contacted Byron yet I think we should declare these positions open and then contact him to see if he wants to attend or not.
 - ➤ I want to point out all of the hard work that has been done at City Hall moving offices.
 - ➤ Kelly and I will be attending the CIS conference this month to get Insurance rate information.

There were no more questions from the council.

- **C. Public Works Department's Report** (included in your packet)
- 1. Monthly Status Report (Storm Water)
- 2. Monthly Status Report (Water)
- > . The park situation is in progress and in the meantime we have fenced it off.
- ➤ The water line is hooked up from bridge to the road it's all ran except the 2 inch line up to the houses. This should fix the dirty water problem, 50% of our town is still on old pipes and so the filter cannot get all of it.
- Councilor Vlcek, why when you're putting the time to put in 2 inch and the whole ground is up why not put in a 4 inch line because the property owners and contractors would have to bring it up to code and bring in fire hydrants. Southard this is flex hose and we don't have to dig a ditch for this we are just stringing it through the existing line.

 No more questions.

No more questions at this time.

- A. Waste Water Treatment Plant Update (from Otis Phillips), he is sick and has been excused.
 - Report is attached
 - Everything is looking good
 - Currently the plant is shut down, if we don't need to run I try to save on electricity.
 - I would like to declare the surface aerators as surplus, with Council permission, Council states we already approved that it is a policy item under your preview to do so.
 - Last thing I caught wind that City Salem is taking other cities sludge and we talked about it. They are offering to take our sludge at 5 cents a gallon and trucking costs at 7 cents a gallon so 12 cents a gallon and we get rid of sludge when you did this last time you paid over 2 dollars a gallon. You will never see this again and you cannot beat this price and

I can't even do this as cheap with the bags and this would be a quick fix and it would get us up to date. Just a redistribution of money, and I think we need to jump on this now.

Councilor Graupp, can this truck make it down your road, Otis yes, can we get the numbers by next meeting and get a commitment written up and so we can review it so City Attorney Koho can look at it.

- ➤ It is the consensus of the Council to get a contract from Salem and the Trucking Company over to Koho for approval and move forward on this.
- This is a brief discussion about the grease interceptors and the issues resulting from grease being dumped down the drain and the issues that it can cause. Discussion about inserting something in the city newsletter to ask citizens to avoid dumping grease down their drains.

No questions from Council

C. City Recorder's Report (not included in your packet) Richardson I did not prepare a report at this time; I had ran out of time while gathering all of the Council items. Everything has been going fine and we are just continuing with routine items. Thank you to Councilor Vlcek, for the new City Hall Entrance sign and all of your hard work.

No Questions from Council.

- **D.** City Attorney's Report (not Included in your packet)
 - ➤ Rodger Eddy update, we sent the notice to him, I have not heard from him as of yet. City Recorder, Richardson states he has put in a records request on 2006 minutes and council packets. Councilor Sahlin asks if we are keeping a file on all of this Richardson says yes.
 - ➤ We have made some collection efforts on the water filtration situation however we have not seen payment or a response as of yet.
 - ➤ Ordinance dealing with animals has not been completed as of yet, Mayor Taylor states I am not sure that is really what we want to do at this point we may just see how it goes.
 - ➤ Ordinance discussion on Neon Signs and the process to follow. This is not just an ordinance reading and simple passage. This would be an actual code change and require a public hearing and notice, City Recorder, Richardson states that it may not be an issue and may be addressed in the upcoming proposed code changes currently happening with Title 17

10. Ordinances and Resolutions

- **A. Discussion and or Action on Ordinance 469** AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR AN AMENDMENT TO THE CITY OF AURORA COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF THAT CERTAIN PROPERTY OWNED BY ANTHONY AND GAYLE FIDANZO, WHICH IS WITHIN THE CITY'S URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY AND ABUTS THE CORPORATE CITY LIMITS.
 - First Reading. Is read by Title only. No questions.

11. Old Business

A.

12. New Business

- A. Discussion and or Action on Proposal/Agreement for Consulting Arborist Services for the Health and Hazard Assessment/Arborist Report for the Aurora City Park. About a year ago we had a meeting with the Parks Committee and Public Works at which time Bob Southard had pointed out certain trees that need to come down and one of the trees that Bob said would come down did on the play structure. If we can't use SDC money we can move forward however if we can't then The City is not sure where to find the funding for this project.
- The City Attorney states if it is an increase in use then you could. Councilor Graupp why are we going through this process and Councilor Sahlin states that Bob is not qualified and the parks committee is not happy about his performance. I would like to be the go between for the Committee and Public Works.
 - O Councilor Sahlin we will put together the RFP and put it out for proposal. We will get it prepared Mayor Taylor would like permission from Council go ahead to go out for a qualified arborist Mayor Taylor doesn't want to wait on this so can I get Council approval to move forward on this when we complete the RFP
- Councilor Vlcek makes a motion to put out and prepare a RFP by the parks committee for a qualified professional to determine the fate of the tree stand in the park and is seconded by Councilor Graupp motion passes.
- Councilor Graupp proposes to bring core samples to the extension office to see if this is something they can do as well it may save us a few dollars and time.

Councilor Sahlin I want to take all of this information to the park committee first.

B. Discussion and or Action on Different or Clarifying Code Enforcement in and around the City. Code Enforcement will be turned over to Officer Marcellais. City Recorder, Richardson clarifies that the Council wants Deputy Marcellais to handle all code enforcement actions again the answer is yes.

Discussion then turns to more about the Economic Development Committee that has been talked about in previous meetings, which was not on this agenda.

- We have 4 people on the committee and we are working towards who the players are and what they bring to the table. We need to get another meeting together and see how we are going to move forward. We do not need any more than 7 people. Would a chamber of commerce be beneficial in Aurora? We heard that the chamber got sued and they couldn't get insurance. Karen Townsend addresses this statement because she is the past president I don't know how it would have missed your notice in 2003 a women tripped over a hose or electrical cord we were sued and then the insurance went up and we couldn't afford it anymore so we reformed in the form of a visitors association so far the members have been working well. We do best on tourism and we put our focus on visitor association, most everyone in the downtown area businesses are members.
 - o ACVA is really more of a marketing group 85 dollars a year we approach all members when they come to town we do not have a staff and we do have a website and we do not have overhead. We have a marketing director and we do the pamphlet and paper and contact cards at the beach these items have helped because we are able to keep our doors open and we are noted for tourism. Once this committee gets going we should contact the ACVA that has quite a name in and around the STATE.
- C. Discussion and or Action on City Email and City Web-Site. Councilor Graupp, sent an email to Woodburn City Administrator and they have a 3 person staff in there IT Dept and they are starting to contract out there services to other cities it's a win for them and also for other smaller entities. I am speaking with them about the web-site Councilor Graupp states that our volunteer Johnathan Gibson can't keep up, Richardson states that it not a matter of keeping up it's more that I can't get people to give her the information. Let's look at getting a quote.
- Chairman Schaefer being in attendance summarizes the discussion at the Planning Commission meeting, first 15 minutes free but at the same time her expertise is worth something we pay for any initial information as long as its quick and at no cost but there are times that it goes further and then it starts to cost us her time and our money. The Planning Commission had decided that this would be a good way to handle these situations.
- **D. Discussion and or Action on Updated Fee Schedule for Planning Commission Fees.** Mayor Taylor, states he gave her clear direction about 6 months ago and if someone contacts her out of the blue she is to then tell them it has to come from staff or council or there is an additional charge.
- My discussion with staff was who is going to make the determination, Renata and she will then tell the client that they must fill out an application to go further.

Write a resolution and get it in place so we have a clear history of the form and fee.

- E. Discussion and or Action on presentation of title 17 by Chairman of Planning Commission and or next steps. Chairman Schaefer of The Aurora Planning Commission addresses the Council, this will be a brief presentation since last September we have been looking at the HRB guidelines and determined that these are very complex and found in 3 places in the code
 - Title 16
 - Title 17
 - Design Guidelines 103 numbered sections in guidelines themselves and then you will likely find other information in the Aurora Municipal code that applies as well. Applicants that come in are confused and frustrated and they are unclear of what to do and or to fill out the correct paperwork needed. My proposal was to simplify this and my first effort to simplify is here before you tonight in the form of a revised Title 17 as a regulatory document and then the HRB guidelines are only an advisory document.
 - Now the 134 pg document is now 34 pages. The goal here was to make it a yes or no answer. So it would be easier to read. Currently the Guidelines apply to all of the properties with no variation at all.
 - My proposal has variations to pre 1920 and post colony and the dividing line is Dec 31, 1920 there are rules for the contributing structures which are tougher however 1921 Non Contributing or later the rules are much simpler and are more relaxed.

Mayor Taylor, Once you have an almost final copy then I think that we could look at either a workshop or a town hall meeting.

Councilor Vlcek asks about alterations being done on a structure on a contributing property and the front of the structure is in historic district and then the back of the structure is out of the historic district. (This question is geared towards a sky light situation).

Chairman of the HRB Karen Townsend states if you're standing on Main Street you may not be in the historic district however you are still viewing the district.

Townsend also states that sky lights are currently not allowed o either a primary or secondary structure.

Mayor Taylor states I think we are ready for a workshop; let's go before March 12, let's go for the HRB meeting on the 28th

<u>During a brief discussion on availability it is decided to have the workshop at the regularly scheduled Planning Commission meeting March 5th at 7 pm.</u>

Karen would like to speak to it and I have been on this board and I see this code written and rewritten and I see this as a skeleton. It is important to understand what a contributing primary

and secondary structure colony structure is. These are not to be touch and then secondary such as the Miller House, this is a structure that shouldn't be touch as well or heavily regulated. On the Historic register where it is named at the state level and these are recognized for it. I encourage you to go through the museum and see why City Councils before you thought that this was so very important to preserve.

When we get this done we are going to ask SHPPO to come and make our district a certified historic government. When this is completed we will be able to receive funding for all sorts of types of projects and updates.

Councilor Sahlin states most of us have lived here a long time and so I think we know how this works.

Townsend let's not look at this as a problem or a negative let's look at it as a positive. Because of our nationally recognized Historic District it has put us on the map, we are known for our historic district take that away and we will not be unique.

Councilor Graupp presents some of the original documents that were filed with the state for your reading pleasure and study I will send out some links that I have come across that could be quite helpful.

Councilor Vlcek asks does history show that all barns or accessory structures as being shorter than the house (primary structure) because currently secondary structures can't be taller than the primary structure and that is true of all the entire town not just the HRB district.

F. Discussion and or Action on ODOT Speed Study and Recommendation letter from Planning Commission. Chairman Schaefer, states Although we didn't get all of what we wanted at least they did recommend a reduction in the speed coming down the north end of town. The Planning Commission recommendation would be to accept the study as is. They start with various classifications of the speed and the property area surrounding it and this is a state highway and I just can't see our other wish list happening at this time. Maybe as more growth happens at the south end of town they will see it our way.

Councilor Graupp I say let Marion County help fight that battle at this point and if we fight this study we could lose.

A motion to accept the ODOT speed study and the Planning Commission recommendation is made by Councilor Graupp and seconded by Councilor Sahlin, Motion passes Councilor Vlcek opposed. Motion passed.

Adjourn A motion to adjourn the February 12, 2013, meeting at 9:39 p.m. was made by Councilor Sahlin and seconded by Councilor Vlcek. Motion Passed Unanimously.

| Greg Taylor, Mayor | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| ATTEST: | |
| Kelly Richardson City Recorder | |

13.

Minutes

Aurora Planning Commission Meeting

Tuesday, February 05, 2013 at 7:00 P.M. Aurora Commons Room, Aurora City Hall 21420 Main St. NE, Aurora, OR 97002

STAFF PRESENT:

Kelly Richardson, City Recorder

Renata Wakeley, City Planner

STAFF ABSENT:

VISITORS PRESENT:

Bill Graupp, Aurora

1. Call to Order of Planning Commission Meeting

The meeting was called to order by Planning Chair Joseph Schaefer at 7:05 p.m.

2. City Recorder Did Roll Call

Chairman, Schaefer - Present
Commissioner, Willman
Commissioner, Gibson
Commissioner, Graham
Commissioner, Fawcett
Commissioner, Braun
Commissioner, Sallee
Present

3. Consent Agenda

Minutes

- I. Aurora Planning Commission Meeting –December 04, 2012
- II. HRB Minutes
- III. City Council November 13, 2012

Chairman Schaefer, states a few clerical errors in the minutes and has given to City Recorder Richardson, the biggest item that I would like to talk about is my potential conflict of interest with the potential view corridor at Liberty Street since I do own property there. So I just want it to state it clearly, I have decided that because of that I will say my piece however I will not participate in any decisions on that issue. There were also a few routine edits with the discussion with title 17. Richardson has those so are there any other comments on the minutes hearing none. They move onto the other minutes as presented.

Correspondence

- I. Information on LED light in Historic Districts, I would like to discuss under title 17.
- II. Agenda and Information on Mid-Willamette Valley Commission on Transportation MWACT, I am planning to go to the meeting to give a 3 minute pitch there was a

meeting last month where the group went through some potential projects and they have decided that we are still in the running so Wakeley will put some paperwork together for me and I will speak about it. Wakeley informs PC what the information will be continuation of 99E curbs and sidewalks and Commissioner Graham worked on this application with me they have about 16 million but it is a situation where they are prioritizing those projects, and there is potential that some of the items on the list could be funded another way and you are competing with other entities and so it's from Bobs Ave going to Ottaway Rd is the proposal, it is not as much linear feet as the first proposal however they are basically identical.

III. Land Conservation and Development Commission Notice, just a meeting notice for their January meeting I am not sure if there is any interest to anyone.

A motion is made by Commissioner Gibson to accept the consent agenda as stated with changes proposed and is seconded by Commissioner Sallee. Motion passes unanimously.

4. Visitor

Anyone wishing to address the Planning Commission concerning items not already on the meeting agenda may do so in this section. No decision or action will be made, but the Planning Commission could look into the matter and provide some response in the future.

Councilor Graupp is in the audience as the Council Liasion

5. New Business

A. Discussion and or Action on Marion County TSP Project list for Aurora, is asking where our issues may overlap, and we are providing to them our project list (pg 3 or 4). At this Point Karen Odenthal, Marion County Traffic Engineer is requesting input at this point; I don't think it would hurt to prioritize as well. So I think that we can take a look at our project list and see if there is any overlap at this point.

The view corridor did not make it on the agenda, City Recorder Richardson had forgotten to add it to the agenda and my fear is that in a few of these it may cause an interruption of our view corridor that we are working on. So I am wondering if we want to flag some of the issues associated with this project list as a potential view corridor interruption.

- There is a light proposed at Airport and Ehlen so I think a traffic signal might be ok but we are not in favor of anything erected high enough to impede the view.
- The second one is also on Ehlen Rd but a little further East; you have the view across the flood plain across the City skyline, From Ehlen Rd looking towards the right towards the southeast.
- The next location is on Liberty Street just south of Bobs Ave a location there where there is a flag lot and then a long drive way the other property driveway abuts it you have an area where you have two driveways with no development on them and so you have a straightforward view. Again Schaefer states that he owns a property on the west side of that liberty street view, so again I won't make any decision regarding this issue however I would like to point out that this is all private property. I think it is different that we regulate over private property verses property with that said I think we want to consider how to regulate because potentially the surrounding property owners could at anytime want to build on their property and disturb that though
- Although your code is very strict in the 100 year flood plain area as to what you can and cannot build in that area.

- Are there any comments on the view corridors hearing none from PC City Planner Wakeley states as follows;
- Wakeley states a concern of Chairman Townsend of the HRB Wakeley states she has made comment that the south Ehlen Rd, she has stated that nothing could go there and what does would be subject to HRB and although your code is very strict in the 100 year flood plain area as to what you can and cannot build in that area. It would be subject to HRB since it is in the district. However, since it is in the jurisdiction of the County It is not clear if they would have to obtain a certificate of appropriateness.
- Councilor Graupp states that SHPPO states that no city government can regulate outside of its boundaries however we could make a comment and they may listen or not. Wakeley is not sure of what Marion County requirements are on accessory structures and I do not think they have to even notify us often times they do. Wakeley I am not clear of what the County requires for building in the flood plain often times they allow and I do not think they have to notify us.
- Since we are reviewing or providing comment I (Wakeley) can certainly share our concerns with them at this time.
- Chairman Schaefer comments that he thinks that this is the best way to go.

B. Discussion and or Action on Aurora Speed Study Provided by ODOT, we are bumping up on a deadline so Council has asked us to provide comment. I am pleased that they have done such an extensive study.

The short version is that essentially that on the north side of town coming over the hill toward the river and approaching south of 4th street about 250 feet near The General Store ODOT is recommending a reduction in the speed zone. At or about the lone elder intersection to 40 and at bridge from 45 to 40 and after the bridge from 30 to 25 and leaving it at 25 to at about 250 feet south of 4th street. From there continuing south there is no recommendation of a speed reduction. However they do recommend an increase from 30 to 35 after the store and then from 35 to 50 once you get past Orchard Ave.

Chairman Schaefer is all in favor of this I am sorry to see that at the south of town they didn't see fit to reduce the speed limit on the south end of town which was what were really hoping for, but this north side drop is nice. No comments at this time from anyone.

I would point out that on the second page I noticed that their data doesn't mention storm drainage and I think this data should be forwarded to Bob Southard I think it will be a great resource.

Chairman Schaefer states my feelings are that half a lower age is better than nothing. I think that if we have more development in the south end of town then maybe we can revisit it.

<u>Planning Commission consensus is to thank them for their research and study and accept it as is and we can revisit south end of town later when development occurs.</u>

City Planner Wakeley there was one other matter concerning 99E either we can talk about it now or I can bring it up under my report. Let's talk about it now.

City Planner, Wakeley informs Planning Commission on the current corridor study and we talked about the items that they listed and we gave them to Dan Fricke and he stopped in and I received a letter and I provided them to Planning Commission and he states that he can remove recommendation 1 and 2 and we can keep option 3. We hear you on this and so do they want me to completely remove these options 1 & 2 he is willing to come out and speak to Planning Commission and Council and talk to them about these concerns. Do we leave the data in the report and just state that the city doesn't agree or completely remove the options? Fist response we don't like them let's remove them Councilor Graupp states I believe there was an option 4 was a low cost option. The consensus is to remove them altogether.

- ❖ I will let him know he doesn't need to come out but please thank him for his offer.
- ❖ Chairman Schaefer we are going to continue with title 17 and drop the guidelines review at this point.

6. Old Business

- A. Discussion and or Action on Historic Review Board Guidelines, as discussed briefly above.
 - Review of Title 17 revisions,
 - I have changed some language on commercial windows it now reads that it needs to be wrapped in wood.
 - Roofing material on commercial district with sloping roof, 17;36;080 sub E is being tweaked..
 - Roofing material should be on comp roof (added slope roof is prohibited) it refers to all
 residential and commercial.
 - Chairman Schaefer comments sloping metal roofing currently our code reads 35 feet and in this it says 27 feet why would we want to lose 8 feet?
 - 16:.20 and 16.22 Historic Overlay it would be my understanding that you were bringing in 16;20 and 16:22 into title 17 so I have been comparing as we go and I missed that and I am going to propose that we move 16;20 and 16;22 into the title 17 revision so everything regarding historic properties is in one place.
 - Through a short discussion it is decided to keep the 35 foot language.
 - Discussion ensues about a specific height of green house on the corner of liberty and it is tall currently its 5 to 6 feet below right of way. Wakeley states that currently the bldg goes with grade and some may remove it, there is always a way to go against the rules.
 - The whole point is to make it easy to use, you could use abutting right away, Wakeley concern is that we change the common and then the bldg dept has to remember to approve by our city standards which would be different from any other city standards. I think you convinced me that this won't work and I think that we should use the language currently in the code and not address these complications until they happen. I will check definition of story as well. Height to adjacent grade.
 - Wakeley asks questions, about the next steps Chairman Schaefer plans to make brief
 presentation to council at next meeting and show them a draft and inform them why we
 are moving items from the guidelines into title 17 to avoid confusion and overlapping
 documents.
 - Is Council going to want a work session yes, this is just a status report at this point I am proposing an open house so citizens can way in and ultimately Planning Commission and Council will need to have a public hearing. Short and sweet the 1920 concept and age of structure and contributing or non-contributing.
 - Appendix B will be a reference document and HRB will be able to amend this document when they want to but we need to reference it in the Comp Plan to see if they have their authority. Commissioner Sallee states a comment and it is clarified that appendix B is the guidelines and so we need to differentiate between the two.
 - Aside from that the key issue what year was the property built. There is also who has the authority to add a property to the list or inventory, contributing is 1920 or earlier. We have a document that states what is contributing or Non-Contributing because of the document that SHPPO prepared for us. .
 - We need to try to distinguish the differences from our list and SHPPO that is why pre 1920 works.

- Councilor Graupp has a copy of original document filed with SHPPO he points out the categories on file. Contributing, Non-Contributing.
- We are removing the regulatory effect of the guidelines and they are now a reference and advisory only so this is why we could give them the authority to change the document as needed because it's not the regulatory document.
- Chairman Schaefer is saying b is the inventory and A is the guidelines.
- No more discussion at this time.
 - LED Signs for Businesses, the City Council and other parties have been looking at the sign code because of a recent business complaint about neon open signs, originally we had asked HRB to look at the sing code however we have not seen a draft and it is unclear if they are working on it or not. With that said I made changes in section 17.20.060 sub 5 to allow for a certain size LED open sign. The concern was that people could not identify whether or not the business was open or closed as follows;
 - ❖ I have stated that we allow one LED sign per business. Now in your packet you see information provided by Chairman Townsend against LED signage and I say that these areas mentioned are more of a walk through community and I say that Aurora is more of a drive through area so I say it's needed. Size limited to less than 3 square feet.
 - Commissioner Fawcett states he is against lit signs I think we should just allow a larger white on black sign. The argument is that no one can see it. Commissioner Sallee states that on pg. 430 part of the introduction of the HRB guidelines reads that we want to be economically preserved, further reads a that a balance be maintained.
 - There is some discussion on other alternatives rather than LED and to the fact that they would need to be already made so that they are affordable and readily available.

It is the consensus of the Planning Commission that they like the language as proposed for LED signage in the Historic District.

- Windmills for Power Generation, a lot of people are looking at windmills again, so do we want to address this now since we are reviewing it. Chairman Schaefer I don't know personally how prevalent they were in the 19th century. Now satellite dishes are now limited in size. What are your thoughts at this point, question is are they permitted outside of the district. No one really answers to this.
 - ❖ 35 feet is the height restriction you are looking at as regulated by FFA
 - ❖ Commissioner Fawcett raises the question about solar panels and Wakeley states that because of senate bill 3516 you cannot restrict them. This would be on a case by case basis.
 - ❖ They decide to change the wording pre 1920 is not allowed and 1921 or later there is a review process done during the building permit process.

7. Commission Action/Discussion

- A. City Planning Activity (in Your Packets)
 Status of Development Projects within the City.
 - **A.** City Planning Activity (in Your Packets) Status of Development Projects within the City. I received a call about the old Harrison property and he expressed interest in turning it back into a trout pond.
 - HRB going through sign code review and two of these properties do not conform anymore because they have changed ownership
 - Beal family pre-app meeting review this conversation was about annexation. Along with current SDC charges and that they would be very high. If they were to annex that they would have to bring up the water line to a 10 inch pipe.
 - Manufactured home and building permit for Fermin Ramirez.
 - Ross food cart property actually currently in violation because of junk everywhere.
 Discussion about whether or not we should issue permit.

Schaefer we are still short members please tell your friends.

9. Adjourn 9:05 P.M.

A motion to adjourn the February 05, 2013 meeting is made by Commissioner Willman and seconded by Commissioner Graham. Motion Passes Unanimously.

Chairman, Schaefer

ATTEST:

Kelly Richardson, City Recorder

recorder

From: Angela Carey [acarey@orcities.org]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 5:08 PM

To: Angela Carey
Cc: Erin Doyle

Subject: Land Use Legislative Action Team

Attachments: LandUseBills2-20-13.pdf

Dear City Official:

The legislative session has begun and the League will be relying on you to aid in our advocacy efforts. The relationship that you have with your legislator is going to be extremely important this session, since the League has such an aggressive agenda. You are receiving this email because your legislator serves on a key Land Use Committee and/or you serve on one of the League's policy committees. The Land Use committees will be hearing bills related to policy issues around annexation, land use, parks, eminent domain, urban growth boundaries, and one of the League's top five priorities population forecasting.

The League is hoping that you will be willing to serve on our Land Use Legislative Action Team throughout session, which will allow you to keep up with the happenings on the committee(s) by email updates and if needed conference calls. Then the League will rely on you to be our "go to" when we need you to contact your legislator. If you are not interested in participating in this process or would like to include anyone else from your city in the email group please let me know.

I have attached a complete list of land use bills that have been introduced to date. Below is a list of bills that are cities should pay particularly close attention to.

HB 2253: This bill is one of the League's top priorities for this session and would require the state to contract with a third party, the Population Resource Center of Portland State University, to perform a population forecast for cities and counties every four years. In addition the population forecast would not be an appealable land use decision.

HB 2028: The League testified in opposition to this bill on Tuesday, February 19. HB 2028 would prohibit a city or district from requiring consent by a landowner to eventual annexation in exchange for providing "extraterritorial services" when a city or a district is providing service on behalf of another local government pursuant to an intergovernmental agreement. In addition, the bill would void all prior consents to annexation which were signed on the basis of receiving "extraterritorial services" from a city based on an intergovernmental agreement. The bill has not been scheduled for a work session and the League is in the process of drafting an amendment that would make it more favorable to cities.

HB 2617: A public hearing on this bill was also held on Tuesday, February 19 but the bill was carried over to Thursday, February 21. The League testified in opposition on Tuesday. HB 2617 requires a double majority vote in elections proposing annexation of islands of 100 acres or more, where a vote is required by law, charter, ordinance, or resolution. Votes of current residents and the landowners in the area proposed for annexation would be counted separately to determine if annexation was supported by these separate majorities. The bill would maintain the current single majority calculation that combines residents and landowners votes, when elections are required, if the acreage to be annexed is less than 100 acres.

HB 2618: The League testified in opposition to HB 2618 on Tuesday, February 19 as well. This bill as written allows a city to withdraw part of the territory of a special district that has been annexed or incorporated into the city only when the city will become the service provider.

HB 2254, HB 2255 and HB 2256: All these bills are a part of the Governor's Office UGB streamlining plan; they are currently undergoing a process of redrafting that the League has been heavily involved in. The League anticipates that these bills will be subjects of hearings in March.

SB 220: The League supports SB 220, which would require that a person seeking to appeal a land use decision or limited land use decision to the Land Use Board of Appeals must be adversely affected by the decision.

The League looks forward to working with you to make this a successful legislative session for cities!

Sincerely,

Angela



Angela Carey, Intergovernmental Relations Research Associate

acarey@orcities.org

(503) 588-6550 | (800) 452-0338 | (503) 540-6590 direct | (503) 399-4863 fax 1201 Court St. NE, Suite 200 | Salem, Oregon 97301

www.orcities.org

Helping Cities Succeed

League of Oregon Cities

| ague of Oregon C | | D : ' | A ' 1T | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|---|
| HB 2028 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| <u>Bill Info</u> | Oppose | 1 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | for providing local government annexation of for service pursuant to a consent to ever the pursuant to ever the pu | g extraterritori ment pursuant obtained by city rovided outsid intergovernme ventual annexa | al service when city or to intergovernmental y or district prior to eff te boundaries of city or ental agreement. Clari | y landowner to eventual annexation in exchange district is providing service on behalf of another agreement. Declares consent by landowner to ective date of Act void if consent was demanded district on behalf of another local government fies language authorizing city or district to require roviding extraterritorial service to landowner. ine die. |
| 2/19/13 | H - Public H | earing schedul | led. | |
| 1/22/13 | H - Referred | to Land Use. | | |
| 1/14/13 | H - First read | ding. Referred | to Speaker's desk. | |
| HB 2200 Bill Info | Position Neutral | Priority 4 | Assigned To Erin Doyle | Category Land Use |
| Summary: | which owner land and eng | r establishes th | • | ges in amount not to exceed \$1,000 in action in o specific activities and defendant entered upon permission of owner. |
| 1/22/13 | | | | |
| 1/14/13 | H - First read | ding. Referred | to Speaker's desk. | |
| HB 2253 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Support | 1 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | - | | | search Center to issue population forecasts for counties. Declares emergency, effective July 1, |
| 1/22/13 | H - Referred | to Land Use. | | |
| 1/14/13 | H - First read | ding. Referred | to Speaker's desk. | |
| HB 2254 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 1 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | Creates option | nclusion of lar | th population of less th | nan 10,000 to project need, based on population n boundary. Establishes priority for selection of |
| 1/22/13 | H - Referred | to Land Use. | | |
| 1/14/13 | H - First read | ding. Referred | to Speaker's desk. | |
| HB 2255 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 1 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| | 1,1011101 | - | | 244.4.000 |

Summary:

Modifies planning period for inclusion of land within urban growth boundary from 20 years to 15 years. Creates option, for purpose of inclusion of land within urban growth boundary, for projecting number of new jobs and demand for land to meet employment needs. Establishes process for designating industrial reserves separate from processes to designate other urban reserves. Authorizes Economic Recovery Review Council to receive and process applications from local governments for expedited project review of specified traded sector development that has siting needs that cannot be met in urban areas of county in which siting is proposed. Modifies sunset provision for council.

1/22/13 H - Referred to Land Use.

1/14/13 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2256PositionPriorityAssigned ToCategoryBill InfoMonitor1Erin DoyleLand Use

Summary:

Requires local government that expands urban growth boundary to cause renegotiation, as necessary, of urban service agreements. Requires urban service agreement to include preliminary estimate of capital cost of urban service infrastructure required for delivery of urban service anticipated by urban service agreement. Modifies criteria for electoral approval of annexation plan related to urban service agreements and expansion of urban growth boundaries. Authorizes Oregon Infrastructure Finance Authority, in coordination with Department of Land Conservation and Development and Department of Transportation, to administer program to provide loans and grants for planning or construction of certain urban service infrastructure. Allows local government that has developed and evaluated alternative land use and transportation scenarios to enact or amend provision taxing fuel for motor vehicles without submitting proposed tax to electors for approval.

1/22/13 H - Referred to Land Use with subsequent referral to Ways and Means.

1/14/13 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2284PositionPriorityAssigned ToCategoryBill InfoMonitor2Erin DoyleEconomicDevelopment

Summary:

Requires Oregon Business Development Department to establish and administer Oregon Industrial Site Readiness Program to make loans to qualified project sponsors for development of certified state and regionally significant industrial sites. Allows department to forgive portions of loans where project sponsor contracts with eligible employer. Limits loan forgiveness to lesser of percentage of eligible site preparation costs or estimated incremental income tax revenues. Establishes Oregon Industrial Site Readiness Program Fund. Continuously appropriates moneys in fund to Oregon Business Development Department. Takes effect on 91st day following adjournment sine die.

2/12/13 H - Public Hearing held.

1/22/13 H - Referred to Land Use with subsequent referral to Transportation and Economic Development,

then Ways and Means.

1/14/13 H - First reading. Referred to Speaker's desk.

HB 2285 Bill Info

2/20/2013 9:02:19 AM

| | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | Monitor | 2 | Erin Doyle | Economic |
| | | | | Development |
| Summary: | Site Readine sites, to crea conduct regi Fund. Conti | ess Program protes Program protes detailed device ional industrial nuously appro | oviding grants to perform elopment plans to mak I site inventories. Estab | ent to establish and administer Oregon Industrial rm due diligence assessments of large industrial e large industrial sites market-ready and to blishes Oregon Industrial Site Readiness Program to Oregon Business Development Department. |
| 2/12/13 | H - Public H | learing held. | | |
| 1/22/13 | H - Referred | l to Land Use v | vith subsequent referral | to Transportation and Economic Development, |
| | then Ways a | ınd Means. | _ | |
| 1/14/13 | H - First rea | ding. Referred | to Speaker's desk. | |
| HB 2293 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | use and pub comprehens county to co Authorizes of Changes min | olic facilities an sive plans throu onsult with all a county plannin nimum numbe | d, within Washington C igh development review offected taxing districts | cts regarding comprehensive planning for land County, implementation of adopted w and code enforcement services. Requires before forming new county service district. as advisory committee to district governing body. ry committee. |
| 1/22/13 | H - Referred | l to Land Use. | | |
| 1/14/13 | H - First rea | ding. Referred | to Speaker's desk. | |
| HB 2347 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Neutral | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: 2/21/13 | effective on | passage. | n Multnomah County t | o Washington County. Declares emergency, eduled. |
| 1/22/13 | H - Referred | l to Land Use. | | |
| 1/14/13 | H - First rea | ding. Referred | to Speaker's desk. | |
| HB 2617 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Oppose | 1 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | Requires that counted sep | arately to deter | rmine separate majorition ty and territory be com | otes from city and territory to be annexed be es if acreage to be annexed is 100 acres or more. bined to determine single majority if acreage to |
| 2/21/13 | | | ssible Work Session sch | eduled. |
| 2/19/13 | | learing schedu | | |
| 1/22/13 | | l to Land Use. | | |
| 1/14/13 | | | to Speaker's desk. | |
| 1/17/10 | 11 11151160 | anig. ikilenteu | to openici s desk. | |
| | | | | |

Bill Info

HB 2618

| ll Summary Report | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| | Oppose | 1 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | • | _ | _ | ted as or annexed to city from district only if city akes effect on 91st day following adjournment |
| 2/19/13 | H - Public H | learing schedu | led. | |
| 1/22/13 | H - Referred | l to Land Use. | | |
| 1/14/13 | H - First rea | ding. Referred | to Speaker's desk. | |
| <u>HB 2657</u> | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 2 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | | - | | efore local government can consider application d and zoned for industrial use. |
| 1/22/13 | | | _ | elopment with subsequent referral to Land Use. |
| 1/14/13 | | - | to Speaker's desk. | • |
| HB 2695 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 4 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| 2/11/13 2/4/13 | winery licent Establishes a establishment tasting room farm operati passage. H - Referred | usee under Ore authority for O nt of tasting ro n restaurant. ' ion with winer I to Land Use. | gon Liquor Control Act regon Health Authority om restaurant at winery Authorizes events on f | arm use zone to conduct activities authorized for a except for on-premises sales of distilled liquor. To license tasting room restaurants. Authorizes or or farm stand sited in resource zone. Defines 'farm operation with direct on-site sales, including s'event. 'Declares emergency, effective on |
| HB 2741 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 4 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: 2/13/13 2/6/13 | developmer goals and ac effective on H - Referred | nt from specifie knowledged c passage. I to Land Use. | ed provisions of land use | by owner of heritage guest ranch. Exempts e planning statutes, statewide land use planning land use regulations. Declares emergency, |
| HB 2746 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: 2/21/13 2/13/13 2/4/13 | zoned for ex H - Public H H - Referred | cclusive farm u Iearing and Po I to Land Use. | se. ssible Work Session sch | ntion or replacement of dwelling on tract of land eduled. |
| 2/6/13 | п - First rea | uing. Keierred | to Speaker's desk. | |

| is summing report | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| HB 2839 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: 2/18/13 | industrial us | | to just compensation la | nd rezoned to zoning classification that allows |
| 2/11/13 | | | to Speaker's desk. | |
| _,, | 11 11100100 | | to opeaners desin | |
| <u>HB 2917</u> | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Oppose | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | value of pro | , | nined by county assess | emned property may not be less than real market or or Department of Revenue. Declares |
| 2/19/13 | H - Referred | l to Consumer | Protection and Governi | ment Efficiency. |
| 2/13/13 | H - First rea | ding. Referred | to Speaker's desk. | |
| CD 00== | D '4' | D ' ' | A . 1 . 1 . T | |
| SB 0077 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category Land Use |
| Bill Info | Support | 2 | Erin Doyle | Land Ose |
| Summary: | directly rela | ted to and mac in which Land | de in response to land u | se decisions and limited land use decisions se application to be conducted in single has joined all appeals. Declares emergency, |
| 1/16/13 | S - Referred | to Rural Comr | nunities and Economic | Development. |
| 1/14/13 | S - Introduct | tion and first re | eading. Referred to Pres | sident's desk. |
| | | | | _ |
| SB 0220 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Support | 2 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | Board of Appassage. | peals must be | adversely affected by d | ecision or limited land use decision to Land Use ecision. Declares emergency, effective on |
| 1/16/13 | | | nunities and Economic | - |
| 1/14/13 | S - Introduct | tion and first re | eading. Referred to Pres | sident's desk. |
| SB 0246 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Catagory |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 2 | Erin Doyle | Category Economic |
| <u>DIII IIIIU</u> | Wiorittor | 2 | Lilli Doyle | Development |
| Summary: 1/22/13 1/14/13 | Site Readine state and reg where projectorizage of Establishes of fund to Oreg adjournment S - Referred | ess Program to gionally significt sponsor conformation of eligible site program Industry on Business Ent sine die. | make loans to qualified cant industrial sites. Al tracts with eligible emp preparation costs or esti rial Site Readiness Prog | ent to establish and administer Oregon Industrial I project sponsors for development of certified lows department to forgive portions of loans loyer. Limits loan forgiveness to lesser of mated incremental income tax revenues. ram Fund. Continuously appropriates moneys in mt. Takes effect on 91st day following |
| 1/17/10 | 5 Hittoude | aon and mot It | chang, referred to rice | Marie o deor. |

| <u>SB 0250</u> | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Bill Info | Monitor | 2 | Erin Doyle | Land Use | |
| Summary: 1/22/13 1/14/13 | boundary w public facilit S - Referred | hen inclusion o ies plans. to Rural Comn | - | | |
| _,, | | | 9 | | |
| SB 0251 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category | |
| <u>Bill Info</u> | Monitor | 2 | Erin Doyle | Land Use | |
| Summary: | | r expedite app | • | al, state and local permitting authorities to sed land development that addresses significant | |
| 2/21/13 | | earing Schedul | | | |
| 1/22/13 | | | nunities and Economic | • | |
| 1/14/13 | S - Introduct | ion and first re | eading. Referred to Pres | ident's desk. | |
| SB 0253 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category | |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 2 | Erin Doyle | Land Use | |
| Summary: 1/22/13 | Requires Oregon Business Development Department to establish and administer Oregon Industrial Site Readiness Program providing grants to perform due diligence assessments of large industrial sites, to create detailed development plans to make large industrial sites market-ready and to conduct regional industrial site inventories. Establishes Oregon Industrial Site Readiness Program Fund. Continuously appropriates moneys in fund to Oregon Business Development Department. Declares emergency, effective on passage. S - Referred to Business and Transportation, then Ways and Means. | | | | |
| 1/14/13 | S - Introduct | ion and first re | eading. Referred to Pres | ident's desk. | |
| SB 0336 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category | |
| Bill Info | Oppose | 2 | Erin Doyle | Land Use | |
| Summary: | economic inj by local gove | uries incurred ernment of effe | by person acting in goo | establish liability of local government for od faith reliance on negligent misrepresentation an and land use regulations. | |
| 2/5/13 | S - Referred | • | | | |
| 2/5/13 | | | out recommendation as diciary by order of the F | to passage and be returned to President's desk President. | |
| 2/4/13 | S - Work Ses | | , , | | |
| 1/16/13 | S - Referred | to Health Care | and Human Services. | | |
| 1/14/13 | S - Introduct | ion and first re | eading. Referred to Pres | ident's desk. | |
| SB 0393 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category | |
| Bill Info | Support | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use | |
| | 11. | | <i>J</i> - | | |

Summary:

Authorizes local government to adopt exception to statewide land use planning goal, without demonstrating that statutory standards for exception have been met, for use that is necessary for employer of 10 or more employees under specified circumstance. Requires employer in Willamette Valley seeking exception to provide green-collar jobs at family wage. Requires employer outside Willamette Valley seeking exception to provide family wage. Defines terms.

1/16/13 S - Referred to Rural Communities and Economic Development.
 1/14/13 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

| <u>SB 0419</u> | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
|----------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Bill Info | Monitor | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |

Summary: Prohibits public bodies from imposing requirement to show consistency or compatibility with state and local land use plans for lands to which federal government holds title by consent of State of

Oregon. Declares emergency, effective on passage.

2/21/13 S - Public Hearing Scheduled.

1/16/13 S - Referred to Rural Communities and Economic Development.
 1/14/13 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

| <u>SB 0443</u> | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
|----------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Bill Info | Neutral | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |

Summary: Provides that all land within exclusive farm use zone qualifies for farm use special assessment.

Takes effect on 91st day following adjournment sine die.

1/16/13 S - Referred to Rural Communities and Economic Development.
 1/14/13 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

| <u>SB 0449</u> | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
|------------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Bill Info | Monitor | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |

Summary: Directs counties to establish minimum lot or parcel size for land zoned for exclusive farm use, for

land zoned for forest use and for land zoned for mixed farm and forest use. Prohibits Land

Conservation and Development Commission from establishing minimum lot or parcel size for land

zoned for exclusive farm use, for forest use or for mixed farm and forest use.

1/16/13 S - Referred to Rural Communities and Economic Development.
 1/14/13 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

| SB 0453 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
|-----------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Bill Info | Monitor | 3 | Erin Dovle | Land Use |

Summary: Establishes policy, criteria, procedures and other factors for identifying secondary land. Provides

procedures that local governments must follow after identification of secondary land. Establishes

uses allowed in area zoned as secondary land. Declares emergency, effective on passage.

1/16/13 S - Referred to Rural Communities and Economic Development.
 1/14/13 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

SB 0455

Bill Info

| п эишпагу кероп | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| | Neutral | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | | | | primary or accessory dwelling customarily |
| 1/16/13 | - | • | nunities and Economic | permitted use in exclusive farm use zone. |
| | | | eading. Referred to Pres | * |
| 1/14/13 | 5 - Introduc | uon and mst re | eading. Referred to Fres | sident's desk. |
| <u>SB 0462</u> | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | within speci | fied distance o | of boundary of incorpora | ertain type of feedstocks may not be located ated city. Provides exception for composting . Declares emergency, effective on passage. |
| 2/11/13 | | | munities and Economic | |
| 2/4/13 | | | eading. Referred to Pres | - |
| SB 0475 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| | | | J | |
| Summary: | | ely establish sa | • | s from imposing conditions on approved permits development or limit purchase to class or group |
| 2/11/13 | S - Referred | to General Go | vernment, Consumer a | nd Small Business Protection. |
| 2/5/13 | S - Introduc | tion and first re | eading. Referred to Pres | sident's desk. |
| SB 0502 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | religious act restricting re master plan maintenance | civities in state ecreational acti . Prohibits con e of county par | or local parks. Prohibi vities in county parks tl mmission from adopting ks outside urban growt | |
| 2/14/13 | S - Referred | to Rural Comr | nunities and Economic | Development. |
| 2/7/13 | S - Introduc | tion and first re | eading. Referred to Pres | sident's desk. |
| SB 0504 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Neutral | 3 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| Summary: | | rm use zone in | | m use zone. Allows winery to be sited in ation of agricultural produce, including grapes, |
| 2/11/13 | S - Referred | to Rural Comr | nunities and Economic | Development. |
| 2/7/13 | S - Introduc | tion and first re | eading. Referred to Pres | sident's desk. |
| SB 5530 | Position | Priority | Assigned To | Category |
| Bill Info | Monitor | 1 | Erin Doyle | Land Use |
| | | | - <i>J</i> - | |

| Summary: | Appropriates moneys | f-11-2-2-2 1 |
|----------|---------------------|--------------|
| Summary. | A DDDDDDDDDDEVS | |

Appropriates moneys from General Fund to Department of Land Conservation and Development for certain biennial expenses. Limits certain biennial expenditures from fees, moneys or other revenues, including Miscellaneous Receipts, but excluding lottery funds and federal funds, collected or received by department. Limits biennial expenditures by department from federal funds. Declares emergency, effective July 1, 2013.

Category

| 1/25/15 5 - /1551gricu to Subcommittee On Matural Nesource | 1/23/13 | S - Assigned to Subcommittee On Natural Resources |
|--|---------|---|
|--|---------|---|

Priority

1/16/13 S - Referred to Ways and Means.

Position

SB 5531

1/14/13 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

| Bill Info | Monitor | 2 | Erin Doyle | Land Use | |
|-----------|--|---|------------|----------|--|
| Summary: | Appropriates moneys from General Fund to Land Use Board of Appeals for biennial expenses. Limits biennial expenditures from fees, moneys or other revenues, including Miscellaneous Receipts, but excluding lottery funds and federal funds, collected or received by board. Declares emergency, effective July 1, 2013. | | | | |
| 2/14/13 | S - Public Hearing held. | | | | |
| 1/23/13 | S - Assigned to Subcommittee On Natural Resources. | | | | |
| 1/16/13 | S - Referred to Ways and Means. | | | | |

Assigned To

1/14/13 S - Introduction and first reading. Referred to President's desk.

recorder

From: Don Russo [DRusso@co.marion.or.us]
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 4:52 PM

To: mayor; mayor@donaldoregon.gov; jim.yonally@yahoo.com

Cc: recorder; vlnogle@cityofhubbard.org; Barbara Young; Don Russo; Janet Carlson; Jan Fritz;

Jolene Kelley, John Lattimer; Patti Milne; Sam Brentano; Sara McDonald; Heidi Blaine;

Renata Wakeley; Boyd Keyser

Subject: Invitation to meet with Marion County Board of Commissioners - 9:00 am on Wednesday,

March 20, 2013

Mayor Greg Taylor, City of Aurora Mayor Jim Yonally, City of Hubbard Mayor Daroll Nicholson, City of Donald

The Marion County Board of Commissioners invite you to attend their regular weekly business meeting on Wednesday, March 20 at 9:00 a.m. at North Marion School District. The meeting will be held in Room 201 in the middle school.

The Board of Commissioners meet on occasion at different sites around Marion County to bring county government closer to people. This is the first time the commissioners will meet at North Marion School District. As you are the mayors of the incorporated cities within the boundary of the school district, you are invited to attend. Members of your city councils and administrative staff are also welcome to attend. Please share this message with others.

If there are matters of interest that you wish to share with the commissioners, please respond. Your items can be set on the formal agenda. You may also speak during the public comment part of the meeting, or you are welcome to attend just to observe. Please call or email to discuss further.

Thank you for your service and your interest.

Don Russo Economic Development Manager Marion County Board of Commissioners Office PO Box 14500 Salem, OR 97309-5036 503-589-3264

Marion County Sheriff's Office

Cases Occurring in Zone AAP between 2/1/2013 and 3/1/2013

Report Generated: 3/1/2013 7:47:25 AM

| <u>CASEID</u> | CALL TYPE | <u>CALL DATE</u> | <u>LOCATION</u> | <u>ALPHA</u> |
|--|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| SMS13002043 | SUSVEH1 | 2/3/2013 04:48:15 PM | 21099 HIGHWAY 99E NE (MapBook:1532), | A502 |
| | | | AURORA, 97002 (BOBS AV | |
| G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (| CITICON | 2/0/2012 05 05 1 5 D) (| NE/OTTAWAY RD NE) | 1.107 |
| SMS13002381 | CITCON | 2/9/2013 05:05:16 PM | 21093 FILBERT ST NE (MapBook:1532), | A197 |
| G) (G12002460 | NOIGE | 0/11/0010 10 05 40 43 5 | AURORA, 97002 (/OTTAWAY RD NE) | 1.107 |
| SMS13002468 | NOISE | 2/11/2013 12:35:48 AM | 20843 FILBERT ST NE (MapBook:1532), | A197 |
| | | | AURORA, 97002 (WALNUT ST | |
| G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (| ED ALIDA | 2/12/2012 10 00 25 AM | NE/HEMLOCK AV NE) | A 107 |
| SMS13002527 | FRAUD3 | 2/12/2013 10:00:35 AM | 20923 FILBERT ST NE (MapBook:1532), | A195 |
| | | | AURORA, 97002 (OTTAWAY RD | |
| g) (g) (g) (g) (g) | THEFT | 0/14/0010 00 10 01 DV | NE/WALNUT ST NE) | 1101 |
| SMS13002658 | THEFT3 | 2/14/2013 03:12:31 PM | 20773 FILBERT ST NE (MapBook:1532), | A104 |
| | | | AURORA, 97002 (HEMLOCK AV | |
| G3 FG4 G00 G 6 60 | CD II (IGA | 2/11/2012 07 00 10 70 1 | NE/ORCHARD AV NE) | |
| SMS13002669 | CRIMIS3 | 2/14/2013 05:08:10 PM | LIBERTY ST NE, AURORA/PARK AV NE, | A148 |
| ~~ | | | AURORA(MapBook:1532) | |
| SMS13002714 | CRIMIS3 | 2/15/2013 01:58:01 PM | AURORA CITY PARK | A195 |
| SMS13002964 | MSG | 2/19/2013 01:07:52 PM | 20773 FILBERT ST NE (MapBook:1532), | A195 |
| | | | AURORA, 97002 (HEMLOCK AV | |
| ~~ | | - 1-01-01-01-01 | NE/ORCHARD AV NE) | |
| SMS13003015 | SEXOFF3 | 2/20/2013 03:25:46 PM | 21093 FILBERT ST NE, AURORA, 97002 | A195 |
| ~~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | (/OTTAWAY RD NE) | |
| SMS13003082 | OPEN | 2/21/2013 06:47:31 PM | 14933 BOBS AV NE (MapBook:1532), | A184 |
| | | | AURORA, 97002 (SAYRE DR NE/MAIN | |
| G3. FG 1. G0.0 G1.0 F | TD F + GG | 2/22/22/22 25 25 22 13 5 | ST NE) | 1.10.1 |
| SMS13003107 | TRFASS | 2/22/2013 07:57:38 AM | 1ST ST NE, AURORA/EHLEN RD NE, | A104 |
| G3.4G1.200.211.4 | THEFT | 2/22/2012 00 5 6 20 13 6 | AURORA(MapBook:1432) | 1106 |
| SMS13003114 | THEFT3 | 2/22/2013 09:56:30 AM | 21852 AIRPORT RD NE (MapBook:1432), | A106 |
| | | | AURORA, 97002 (KASEL CT NE/EHLEN | |
| G3.4G1.200.22.45 | THEFT | 2/25/2012 10 05 51 13 5 | RD NE) | 1105 |
| SMS13003345 | THEFT3 | 2/26/2013 10:05:51 AM | 21690 MAIN ST NE (MapBook:1432), | A195 |
| | | | AURORA, 97002 (1ST ST NE, EHLEN RD | |
| G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (G) (| THEFT | 0/06/0010 11 00 14 13 6 | NE, EHLEN RD NE/2ND ST NE) | 1105 |
| SMS13003350 | THEFT3 | 2/26/2013 11:02:14 AM | 14783 EHLEN RD NE (MapBook:1432), | A195 |
| | | | AURORA, 97002 (MILL RACE LN NE, | |
| | | | MILL RACE LN NE/AIRPORT RD NE; | |
| G) (G) (G) (G) | EMPERA | 0/00/0010 10 70 00 53 7 | @AURORA CYCLE) | 4.10.7 |
| SMS13003483 | FNDPRO | 2/28/2013 12:53:23 PM | 21420 MAIN ST NE, AURORA, 97002 | A195 |
| G) (G) (G) (G) | | 0/00/0040 02 20 40 77 | (3RD ST NE/4TH ST NE) | 1.10- |
| SMS13003487 | THEFTS3 | 2/28/2013 02:29:10 PM | 21781 MAIN ST NE, AURORA, 97002 | A195 |

<u>DISP</u> 05

05

| EVENTID | CASEID | CREATE DATE | | ARRIVAL |
|---------------------|-------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SMS201302030 151 | SMS13002043 | ####################################### | DATE 02/03/13 16:20:21 | DATE 02/03/13 16:30:00 |
| SMS201302090 093 | SMS13002381 | ############# | 02/09/13 16:42:09 | 02/09/13 23:32:47 |
| SMS201302100 136 | SMS13002468 | ############## | 02/10/13 22:49:26 | 02/10/13 23:06:47 |
| SMS201302120 045 | SMS13002527 | ####################################### | 02/12/13 10:00:28 | 02/12/13 10:00:28 |
| SMS201302140 147 | SMS13002658 | ############## | 02/14/13 15:02:14 | 02/14/13 15:10:53 |
| SMS201302140 161 | SMS13002669 | ############# | 02/14/13 15:46:03 | |
| SMS201302150 149 | SMS13002714 | ############## | 02/15/13 13:21:25 | 02/15/13 13:21:25 |
| SMS201302190 075 | SMS13002964 | ############## | 02/19/13 12:11:31 | |
| SMS201302200 | SMS13003015 | ############# | 02/20/13 14:34:17 | 02/20/13 14:34:18 |
| | SMS13003082 | ############# | | 14.54.10 |
| SMS201302220 024 | SMS13003107 | ############# | 02/22/13 07:49:14 | |
| - | SMS13003114 | ############## | | |
| SMS201302260 047 | SMS13003345 | ####################################### | 02/26/13 09:58:28 | 02/26/13 10:12:24 |
| SMS201302260 049 | SMS13003350 | ####################################### | 02/26/13 10:17:36 | 02/26/13 10:19:38 |
| SMS201302280 085 | SMS13003483 | ############# | 02/28/13 11:58:29 | 02/28/13 11:58:29 |
| | SMS13003487 | ############# | | 02/28/13 14:28:37 |

| DATE ############ SUSVEH1 05 AAP A502 #################################### |
|--|
| ############ NOISE 05 AAP A197 ##################### FRAUD3 01 AAP A195 |
| ############# FRAUD3 01 AAP A195 |
| |
| ############# THEFT3 05 AAP A104 |
| |
| ############## CRIMIS3 05 AAP A148 |
| ############## CRIMIS3 05 A195 |
| ########## MSG 05 AAP A195 |
| |
| ########### SEXOFF3 01 AAP A195 |
| ########## OPEN 05 AAP A184 |
| ############# TRFASS 05 AAP A104 |
| ############ THEFT3 05 AAP A106 |
| ############# THEFT3 05 AAP A195 |
| ############ THEFT3 01 AAP A195 |
| ############## FNDPRO 05 AAP A195 |
| ############## THEFTS3 05 AAP A195 |

| PRIORITY | AGENCY | SOURCE | LOCATION |
|----------|--------|--------|--|
| 3 | SMS | | 21099 HIGHWAY 99E NE (MapBook:1532), AURORA, 97002 (BOBS AV NE/OTTAWAY RD NE) |
| 4 | SMS | | 21093 FILBERT ST NE (MapBook:1532), AURORA, 97002 (/OTTAWAY RD NE) |
| 4 | SMS | | 20843 FILBERT ST NE (MapBook:1532), AURORA, 97002 (WALNUT ST NE/HEMLOCK AV NE) |
| 4 | SMS | | 20923 FILBERT ST NE (MapBook:1532), AURORA, 97002 (OTTAWAY RD NE/WALNUT ST NE) |
| 4 | SMS | | 20773 FILBERT ST NE (MapBook:1532), AURORA, 97002 (HEMLOCK AV NE/ORCHARD AV NE) |
| 4 | SMS | | LIBERTY ST NE, AURORA/PARK AV NE, AURORA(MapBook:1532) |
| 4 | SMS | | AURORA CITY PARK |
| 5 | SMS | | 20773 FILBERT ST NE (MapBook:1532), AURORA, 97002 (HEMLOCK AV NE/ORCHARD AV NE) |
| 4 | SMS | | 21093 FILBERT ST NE, AURORA, 97002 (/OTTAWAY RD NE) |
| 3 | SMS | | 14933 BOBS AV NE (MapBook:1532), AURORA, 97002 (SAYRE DR NE/MAIN ST NE) |
| 3 | SMS | | 1ST ST NE, AURORA/EHLEN RD NE, AURORA(MapBook:1432) |
| 4 | SMS | | 21852 AIRPORT RD NE (MapBook:1432), AURORA, 97002 |
| 4 | SMS | | (KASEL CT NE/EHLEN RD NE) 21690 MAIN ST NE (MapBook:1432), AURORA, 97002 (1ST ST NE, EHLEN RD NE, EHLEN RD NE/2ND ST NE) |
| 4 | SMS | | 14783 EHLEN RD NE (MapBook:1432), AURORA, 97002 (MILL RACE LN NE, MILL RACE LN NE/AIRPORT RD NE; @AURORA CYCLE) |
| 4 | SMS | | 21420 MAIN ST NE, AURORA, 97002 (3RD ST NE/4TH ST NE) |
| 4 | SMS | | 21781 MAIN ST NE, AURORA, 97002 |



City of Aurora "National Historic Site"

Public Works department

City Council Public Works Activity Report

February 2013

Waste Water

Routine operation maintenance. Plant has and will continue not to violate permit. Plant is discharging to the river. See attached report from Otis. Trees are being removed in first part of March. Water

Routine operation and maintenance. Water filtration system is working with out problems. Water filtration recycle tank overflow drain line installation will start in May, when weather is dry. Booster station fence to east will be repaired at same time. Ehlen Rd. & Airport Rd. water line is completed, have some clean up to finish when weather dries up. Water reads were done on 20th—22nd. Streets

Routine operation and maintenance. Street light on Albers Way is in process, waiting for PGE light standard to complete project.

Parks

Routine maintenance and operation. Park has experienced VANDALS taking down signs and now windows in restroom have damaged.

Administration

Public Works scheduling and planning for staff. Capitol improvement planning for entire city. Budget planning 2013-2014

Overtime has been suspended until emergency call out happens. Respectfully: Bob Southard

Wastewater Treatment Plant Update:

In the month of February:

Operated and maintained the treatment plant to meet all standards set forth in the NPDES permit along with the added requirements of the Consent Decree with the Willamette River Keepers.

Influent flow for the month of February was 1.6 million gallons.

Effluent flow for the month of February was 1.2 million gallons.

The removal percentage for both BOD(biochemical oxygen demand) and TSS(total suspended solids) averaged in the high 90's.

Installed phase loss protection on all lift stations.

Pulled and replaced pump #1 at lift station #4. A rag ball was caught in the impellar.

Working on completing agreement with the City of Salem, possibly receiving our waste sludge.

General housekeeping and landscape maintenance.

Otis Phillips Wastewater Operator City of Aurora Work Cell 503-519-6426 Plant Phone 503-678-1035 Phillips@ci.aurora.or.us

recorder

Dennis Koho [dkoho@koholaw.com] From: Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 11:37 AM

Wakeley, Renata; recorder To:

RE: March Council Meetings Dates and upcoming schedule. Subject:

I concur with Renata.

dennis

5305-B River Road North Dennis E. Koho

Koho & Beatty PO Box 20790

Attorneys at Law, PC Keizer, Oregon 97307

503-390-3501 dkoho@koholaw.com www.koholaw.com 503-390-3506 (fax)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

If you're a client, the attorney-client privilege protects this email. If you're a lawyer working with us under a jointrepresentation arrangement, this email is privileged under that arrangement. If you've received this email by mistake, we'd appreciate it if you would reply to let us know, and then delete the email. We don't waive any client's privilege by misdelivered email.

From: Wakeley, Renata [mailto:renatac@mwvcog.org]

Sent: Friday, March 01, 2013 3:05 PM To: Kelly Richardson; Dennis Koho

Subject: RE: March Council Meetings Dates and upcoming schedule.

Dennis,

You can review the attached section 16.52 for temporary uses that I think the request below pertains to. Although the code states, "The temporary use will last for no more than two, separate, contiguous seven-day periods in any one calendar year within the historic commercial overlay and the two periods shall not be permitted back-to-back", I think we can work around this.

I would suggest the following requirements:

- a) Certificate of Appropriateness from the HRB prior to review by the City
- b) The City Council would need to authorize the Mayor to sign a letter stating that this ROW can be used for the proposed use (as the owners)
- c) Business license application (or CC to waive this)
- d) Other requirements under 16.52.020 such as a site plan and how they will lease out spaces. I assume this won't be a free for all to just set up shop/booth wherever they want within this ROW.

If the Council wants to permit this, I don't know why I couldn't approve it under 16.52.030.

Hope this helps.

Renata

From: recorder [mailto:recorder@ci.aurora.or.us]

Sent: Friday, March 01, 2013 10:25 AM

To: Dennis Koho Cc: Wakeley, Renata

Subject: FW: March Council Meetings Dates and upcoming schedule.

ORDINANCE NUMBER 470

A BILL FOR AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING A FRANCHISE AGREEMENT WITH PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

WHEREAS, the current franchise agreement with Portland General Electric Company expires on March 9, 2013; and

WHEREAS, Portland General Electric Company has complied with all the requirements in the franchise for renewal; and

WHEREAS, the City of Aurora desires to renew and extend the agreement:

The City of Aurora ordains as follows:

Dated this ____ day of April, 2013.

<u>Section 1.</u> The City of Aurora adopts the Franchise Agreement with Portland General Electric Company attached as Attachment A and made a part of this Ordinance.

<u>Section 2.</u> The Mayor is authorized to sign the Franchise Agreement on behalf of the City.

ADOPTED by the Aurora City Council at a City Council meeting held on Tuesday, April 9, 2013.

| , , | ATTEST |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Gregory Taylor, Mayor | Kelly Richardson, City Recorder |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: | |
| | |
| Dennis Koho, City Attorney | |

Ordinance Number 469 A Bill For an Ordinance Adopting a Franchise Agreement with PGE Regular City Council Meeting April 9, 2013

CITY OF AURORA

RESOLUTION NO. 664

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AURORA, OREGON, AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 462 AND ADOPTING A NEW SCHEDULE OF FEES FOR PLANNING AND ZONING APPLICATIONS

WHEREAS, the City of Aurora finds that the policy established and implemented by Resolution No. 253 in 1993, that applicants for planning and zoning matters shall pay the entire cost of processing their applications, should be continued and updated.

WHEREAS, the City finds that the Planning and Zoning Charges were last updated and amended June 17, 2004.

WHEREAS, the City finds that the Planning and Zoning Charges need to be updated and amended to reflect increased staff and office overhead and costs of City Consultants, as well as to adequately prepare the City for a certain level of expected growth named in the City of Aurora Comprehensive Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AURORA THAT:

Section 1:

The City of Aurora Schedule of Fees for Planning and Zoning Applications set forth in Resolution No. 462 be and hereby is amended to provide for the Schedule of Fees/Deposits set forth in Exhibit "A and by this reference incorporated herein.

INTRODUCED AND ADOPTED this 12th day of March 2013.

| | CITY OF AURORA, OREGO | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | BY: | | | |
| | | Gregory Taylor, Mayor | | |
| ATTEST: | | | | |
| BY: | | | | |
| Mary Lambert, Administrative Assistant | | | | |

EXHIBIT A CITY OF AURORA SCHEDULE OF FEES/DEPOSITS FOR PLANNING & ZONING APPLICATIONS

The following estimated user fees are hereby imposed as a fee/deposit against the actual cost for processing land development applications and related permits. The "user fee" philosophy underlying these charges is designed to eliminate the amount of general fund monies used to process land development applications and permits, by charging the entire cost of the City providing that service directly to the person utilizing or receiving the benefit of the service. In this way, existing property tax revenues are not used to subsidize the processing of new land development applications.

Where the term "actual costs" is used, these costs include, but are not limited to, services rendered by the city planning consultant, city engineer consultant and city attorney, as well as all City administrative costs for communicating and meeting with the applicant/property owner and others, public notices, agency referral notices, staff reports, notices of decision, development agreements, correspondence, postage, photocopying, supplies, financial accounting and city clerical work.

If the actual costs exceed the deposit, the City reserves the right to request an additional deposit from the applicant/owner and the City will send an invoice for the additional charges to the applicant. The City shall not issue final approvals for land development and/or issue building permits, until all land development and other fees, including any additional charges are paid. If the applicant chooses to withdraw the application before preliminary approval has been issued by the City, then any unused deposit fees shall be refunded to the applicant upon the City's receipt of the applicant's written withdrawal and request for refund.

NO APPLICATION SHALL BE REVIEWED OR ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING TO DETERMINE ITS COMPLETENESS UNTIL ALL FEES/DEPOSITS ARE PAID. Please note that additional land development and building permit fees/deposits may be required by the City, Aurora Rural Fire District, Marion County or State of Oregon.

This schedule of fees/deposits is effective March 12, 2013 pursuant to City Resolution No. 664, and is applicable to the following land development applications and related permits. The costs for application processing shall be based on the actual costs to the City of such processing and shall be based on the following hourly rates:

| City Planning Consultant | \$85.00/hr. | City Public Works | \$40.00/hr. |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| City Planner/Paralegal | \$65.00/hr. | City Recorder | \$30.00/hr. |
| City Engineering | \$80.00/hr | City Clerk | \$30.00/hr. |
| Consultant Clerical | \$35.00/hr. | City Attorney | \$130.00/hr. |

<u>PLEASE NOTE:</u> The following fees/deposits marked with an asterisk (*) require that public hearing notices be published in the Canby Herald, which additional cost of publication shall be charged to the applicant.

FEE DESCRIPTION

| 1. | PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE Actual Costs \$600.00 deposit |
|----|--|
| 2. | QUASI-JUDICIAL MAP AND TEXT AMENDMENTS a. Comprehensive Plan Amendment. Actual Costs-\$3,000.00 deposit* b. Zoning & Development Ordinance Amendment. Actual Costs-\$3,000.00 deposit* |
| 3. | CITY ANNEXATIONS Actual Costs-\$2,000.00* |
| 4. | SITE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW a. Residential Zones (R-1, R-2) 1) Manufactured Home Parks |
| | b. Commercial Zone (C) and Industrial Zone (I) 1) Project Value -0- to \$49,999 |
| 5. | LAND DIVISIONS a. Subdivisions - Tentative and Final Plats |

| 6. | CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS |
|-----|--|
| | a. All Zones Actual Costs |
| | b. Minor Alterations of Conditional Use Permits |
| | c. Home Occupations |
| | (\$75.00 deposit for Type I home occupations that are not referred to consultants) |
| 7. | VARIANCES |
| | a. Minor Variance from dimensional and setback standards, etc |
| | b. Major Variance from public facilities standards Actual Costs-\$1,000.00 deposit* |
| 0 | HIGHORIC OVERLAN DIGERICE DEPONIES |
| 8. | HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICT PERMITS a. Certificate of Appropriateness (New Construction/Major Renovations) Actual Costs-\$600.00 deposit* |
| | b. Certificate of Appropriateness (all others) |
| | c. Demolition Permit |
| | · |
| 9. | NON-CONFORMING USE OR STRUCTURE (also may require Site Design Review Approval) |
| | a. Reinstatement, Enlargement or Alteration of Use |
| | b. Alteration or Expansion of Structure 1) Residential Zone (R-1 and R-2) |
| | 2) Commercial Zone (C) |
| | 3) Industrial Zone (I) |
| | |
| 10. | TEMPORARY USES OR STRUCTURES |
| | a. Temporary Uses/Structures (Planning Director approval) |
| | b. Temporary Oses/Structures (Framming Commission approvar) |
| 11. | LOT LINE ADJUSTMENTS |
| 10 | ADDE ALC |
| 12. | APPEALS a. From Administrative Decision or HRB Decision |
| | 1) Hearing Required |
| | 2) No Hearing Required |
| | b. From Planning Commission Decision |
| 12 | TD ANGCRIPTS EDOM ADDE AL HEADINGS |
| 13. | TRANSCRIPTS FROM APPEAL HEARINGS |
| 14. | STREET VACATION AND/OR DEDICATION Actual Costs-\$1,000.00 deposit |
| | |
| 15. | INFRASTRUCTURE AND RIGHT-OF-WAY PERMITS a. Right-of-way Permit Review |
| | b. Infrastructure Permits (Sewer, Water, Street and Storm improvements, includes City Engineer and Public |
| | Works review |
| | c. Access Permit Application |
| | deposit |
| 16. | ACCESSORY DWELLINGS |
| 10. | ACCESSORY DWELLINGS a. Administrative Decision |
| | b. As Limited Land Use Decision |
| | c. As Quasi-judicial Decisions with HRB approval |
| | |
| 17. | SIGN PERMITS |
| | a. Permanent Signs in All Zones \$60.00 b. Certificate of Appropriateness for Signs in Historic District \$25.00 |
| | 5. Certificate of Appropriateless for Signs in Historic District |
| 18. | FENCE PERMITS |
| | a. Fence Permit (Over 6 feet tall) |
| | b. Replacement Fence Permit (Over 6 feet tall) \$20.00 |
| | c. Fence Permit Variance (Over 6 feet tall) |
| 19. | ZONING & DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE INTERPRETATION Actual Costs-\$500.00 deposit |

- 20. ALL APPLICABLE CURRENT SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGES AS ADOPTED BY ORDINANCE OR RESOLUTION ARE HEREBY INCORPORATED HEREIN BY THIS REFERENCE. A SCHEDULE OF ALL BUILDING PERMIT AND OTHER APPLICABLE DEVELOPMENT FEES CAN BE OBTAINED BY CONTACTING THE CITY RECORDER AT CITY HALL AT 503-678-1283.
- HOURLY RATE FOR LAND USE SERVICES: Zoning confirmations, interpretations of development code criteria, land use compatibility statements, or other services/assistance related to the development ordinances not listed above shall be the responsibility of the interested party/applicant. Services requiring in excess of fifteen minutes of staff time shall require a deposit with the City of \$125.00 to cover staff time. Time/fees in excess of \$125.00 deposit shall be the responsibility of the interested party and billed to them as such.

ORDINANCE NUMBER 469

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR AN AMENDMENT TO THE CITY OF AURORA COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF THAT CERTAIN PROPERTY OWNED BY ANTHONY AND GAYLE FIDANZO, WHICH IS WITHIN THE CITY'S URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY AND ABUTS THE CORPORATE CITY LIMITS

WHEREAS, the City of Aurora received an application for a Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment (File No. CPMA-12-01) to amend portions of the Comprehensive Plan Flood Hazard (FH) designation based upon updated Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) information; and

WHEREAS, the applicant submitted data supporting revisions to the most current floodplain data based upon a Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) from FEMA; and

WHEREAS, the LOMA provides a revised 100-year floodplain designation for the subject property;

WHEREAS, the Aurora Planning Commission held a public hearing on the proposed Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment on November 6, 2012, and the City Council held a public hearing on the proposed Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment on December 11, 2012 to consider the application;

WHEREAS, the respective Staff Reports, Final Findings and Order and the public hearing minutes from both meetings, which include the findings supporting these proposals are on file with the City and hereby incorporated by this reference; and

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF AURORA ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

<u>Section 1</u>. The City hereby adopts Exhibit A (LOMA, legal description, and map) attached hereto and incorporated by this reference, as the official boundary amendment for the Comprehensive Plan Map related to the subject property;

<u>Section 2.</u> Adoption of Plan Update. Based on the findings of the staff reports; and the testimony received at the public hearings on November 6, 2012 and December 11, 2012; and

<u>Section 3</u>. The City of Aurora Comprehensive Plan Map and all exhibits attached hereto are incorporated herein and shall be known as the "Aurora Comprehensive Plan Map 2013".

<u>Section 4</u>. Effective Plan. This Ordinance being the final decision on the referenced application and shall be in full force and effect upon the thirtieth day after its passage by the Council and approval by the Mayor.

| Ordinance Number | <u> </u> |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Comprehensive Plan Ma | p Amendment related to CPMA-12-0 |

Section 5. The "City of Aurora Comprehensive Update" as amended by copy of this Ordinance shall be filed with the Marion County Surveyor and Assessor.

INTRODUCED by the Aurora City Council for a first reading by title only and for posting on the regularly scheduled January 8, 2013 City Council meeting at Aurora City Council Chambers.

PASSED and adopted by the City Council of the City of Aurora after its second reading by title only on this 12th day of February 2013, by the following votes:

AYES: _____ NAYS: _____
Approved by the Mayor on this 12th day of February, 2013.

Greg Taylor, Mayor Attest:

Kelly Richardson, City Recorder

FRANCHISE AGREEMENT

1

27

| 2 | This Franchise Agreement grants Portland General Electric Company |
|----|--|
| 3 | ("Grantee") a non-exclusive franchise for ten years to erect, construct, maintain, |
| 4 | repair, update and operate an electric light and power system within the City of |
| 5 | Aurora ("City"), sets the terms and conditions of the franchise and provides an |
| 6 | effective date. |
| 7 | WHEREAS, Grantee has been providing electric light and power service |
| 8 | within the City; and |
| 9 | WHEREAS, Grantee is duly authorized by the Oregon Public Utility |
| 10 | Commission ("OPUC") to supply electric light and power within the City; and |
| 11 | WHEREAS, the City has the authority to regulate the use of the Public |
| 12 | ROW (as defined below) within the City and to receive compensation for the use |
| 13 | of the Public ROW; and |
| 14 | WHEREAS, the City and Grantee both desire Grantee to continue to be |
| 15 | able to provide electrical service within the City and to establish the terms by |
| 16 | which Grantee shall use and occupy the Public ROW; |
| 17 | NOW THEREFORE, THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS: |
| 18 | SECTION 1. NATURE OF FRANCHISE. |
| 19 | (A) The City hereby grants to Grantee and its successors and |
| 20 | assigns, subject to the terms and conditions in this Franchise, a |
| 21 | nonexclusive franchise to erect, construct, repair, maintain, |
| 22 | upgrade and operate an electric light and power system within the |
| 23 | City as it now exists or may be extended in the future, including |
| 24 | related communication equipment for Grantee's internal use and |
| 25 | Grantee Facilities (as defined below). This Franchise includes the |
| 26 | privilege to install, repair, maintain, upgrade and operate Facilities |

necessary for the operation of Grantee's Electric Light and Power

System (as defined below) upon, over, along, and across the surface of and the space above and below the streets, alleys, roads, highways, sidewalks, bridges, City park property and other public ways (collectively, "Public ROW") for the provision of public utility services within the City as Grantee's Electric Light and Power System now exists or is extended or upgraded in the future. The City and Grantee shall mutually agree on the location and design of any new Grantee Facilities constructed in City park property. Nothing in this Franchise limits the City from granting others the right to carry on activities similar to, or different from the ones described in this Franchise. The rights granted herein do not include the right to build or site electric generating facilities in the Public ROW.

- **(B)** All Grantee Facilities in possession of Grantee currently or during the Term (as defined in Section 2(A)) that are located within the Public ROW are covered by this Franchise and are deemed lawfully placed in their current locations. The City may require relocation of Grantee Facilities as further specified in Section 8.
- (C) Grantee may provide telecommunications services as defined in ORS 759.005 as it may be amended from time to time via Grantee's Electric Light and Power System if it obtains all necessary and applicable authorizations from the OPUC regarding the provision of telecommunications service to the public and obtains any necessary, lawful and applicable authorization from the City for use of the Public ROW for such provision, including entering into a separate franchise with the City.

SECTION 2. TERM AND EFFECTIVE DATE.

(A) Effective Date. The effective date of this Franchise shall be thirty (30) days after the City Council passes an ordinance adopting this Franchise and Grantee accepts this Franchise in writing in accordance with Section 25 herein; and if such written acceptance

is not so filed within said period, this Franchise shall be null and void.

- (B) Duration of Franchise. The term of this Franchise, and all rights and obligations pertaining thereto, shall be ten years from the effective date of the Franchise ("Term") unless renegotiated or terminated as provided herein. The Term shall automatically renew for two (2) five (5) year Terms, unless either party provides the other party one hundred eighty (180) days advanced written notice of its desire not to renew this Franchise prior to the expiration of the initial Term or renewal Term.
- (C) Charter and General Ordinances to Apply. To the extent authorized by law, this Franchise is subject to the Charter of the City of Aurora and general ordinance provisions passed pursuant thereto, including the applicable provisions of the Aurora Development Code, the Public Works Design Standards, and the Public Works Standard Construction Specifications requiring underground utilities in subdivisions or partitions, and state statutes and regulations existing during the Term. Nothing in this Franchise shall be deemed to waive the requirements of the various codes and ordinances of the City regarding permits, fees to be paid that are generally applicable to other similar businesses operating within the City, including but not limited to fees for permits, inspections, and for administrative time spent in review of construction plans, or the manner of construction.

SECTION 3. DEFINITIONS.

(A) Captions. Throughout this Franchise, captions to sections are intended solely to facilitate reading and to reference the provisions of this Franchise. The captions shall not affect the meaning and interpretation of this Franchise.

- **(B) Definitions.** For purposes of this Franchise, the following terms, phrases, and their derivations shall have the meanings given below unless the context indicates otherwise. When not inconsistent with the context, words used in the present tense include the future tense, words in the plural number include the singular number, and words in the singular number include the plural number. The word "shall" is always mandatory and not merely directory.
 - (1) "City" means the City of Aurora, an Oregon municipal corporation, and all of the territory within its corporate boundaries, as such may change from time to time.
 - (2) "City Council" means the Council of the City.
 - **(3)** "City Recorder" means the Recorder of the City.
 - **(4)** "Director of Finance" means the Director of Finance of the City.
 - (5) "Franchise" means this Franchise Agreement as fully executed by the City and Grantee and adopted by the City Council by Ordinance.
 - **(6)** "Grantee" means Portland General Electric Company, an Oregon corporation.
 - (7) "Grantee Facility" means any tangible component of Grantee's Electric Light and Power System, including but not limited to any poles, guy wires, anchors, wire, fixtures, equipment, conduit, circuits, vaults, switch cabinets, transformers, secondary junction cabinets, antennas, communication equipment and other property necessary or convenient to supply electric light and power by Grantee within the City.

- (8) "Grantee's Electric Light and Power System" means all real property and Grantee Facilities used by Grantee in the transmission and distribution of its services that are located inside the boundaries of the City.
- (9) "Gross Revenues" shall be deemed to include any and all revenues derived by Grantee within the City from Grantee's Electric Light and Power System, and includes, but is not limited to, the sale of and use of electricity and electric service, and the use, rental, or lease of Grantee Facilities, after adjustment for the net write-off of uncollectible accounts. Gross Revenues do not include proceeds from the sale of bonds, mortgages or other evidence of indebtedness, securities or stocks, or sales at wholesale by one public utility to another of electrical energy when the utility purchasing such electrical energy is not the ultimate consumer. Gross Revenues also do not include revenue from joint pole use. For purposes of this Franchise, revenue from joint pole use includes any revenue collected by Grantee from other franchisees, permittees, or licensees of the City for the right to attach wires, cable or other facilities or equipment to Grantee's poles or place them in Grantee's conduits.
- (10) "Mayor" means the Mayor of the City.
- (11) "NESC" means the National Electrical Safety Code.
- (12) "OPUC" means the Oregon Public Utility Commission.
- (13) "Person" means any individual, sole proprietorship, partnership, association, corporation, cooperative, People's Utility District, or other form of organization authorized to do

business in the State of Oregon, and includes any natural person.

- (14) "Public ROW" shall have the meaning described in Section 1.1(A).
- (15) "PUE" shall mean a public utility easement not within the Public ROW that is granted to the City for use for public utilities.
- (16) "Public Works Director" means the Public Works Director of the City.
- (17) "Term" shall have the meaning described in Section 2(A).
- (18) "Year," "annual," or "annually" means the period consisting of a full calendar year, beginning January 1 and ending December 31, unless otherwise provided in this Franchise.

SECTION 4. CONSTRUCTION

(A) Construction. Subject to the NESC, Grantee's Electric Light and Power System shall be constructed and maintained in such manner as not to interfere with sewers, water pipes, or any other property of the City, or with any other pipes, wires, conduits or other facilities that may have been laid in the Public ROW by or under the City's authority. Grantee and the City shall work together during any design process affecting the Public ROW to establish suitable locations for Grantee's Facilities. Assuming there is sufficient space in the Public ROW, all poles shall be placed between the sidewalk and the edge of the Public ROW unless another location is approved by the Public Works Director. If there is not sufficient space in the Public ROW, the City agrees to provide a suitable

alternative location that meets Grantee's engineering standards, the NESC and generally applicable standards published by the City in order for Grantee to maintain sufficient service.

- (B) Acquisition. Subsequent to the effective date of this Franchise, upon Grantee's acquisition of additional Grantee Facilities in the Public ROW, or upon any addition or annexation to the City of any area in which Grantee retains Grantee Facilities in the Public ROW of such addition or annexation, Grantee shall submit to the City a statement describing all Grantee Facilities involved, whether authorized by a franchise agreement or upon any other form of prior right, together with a map, as described in Section 5, specifying the location of all such Grantee Facilities. Such Grantee Facilities shall immediately be subject to the terms of this Franchise.
- (C) Emergency Repairs. In the event emergency repairs to Grantee Facilities are necessary, Grantee shall as soon as reasonably possible notify the City of the need for such repairs. Grantee may immediately initiate such emergency repairs and apply for appropriate permits the next business day or as soon as reasonably possible following discovery of the emergency.
- (D) Reasonable Care. All work completed by Grantee within the Public ROW shall be conducted with reasonable care and with the goal of minimizing the risk to those using the Public ROW and to minimize the risk of damage to public and third party property. All work shall be performed in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to the NESC, the conditions contained in the City permit for the work, and generally applicable standards published by the City. Any work completed by Grantee

within the Public ROW may be inspected by the City to determine whether it complies with Grantee's permit issued by the City. If emergency work has been completed by Grantee in the Public ROW and the City determines such work was not completed in a City approved location in accordance with the applicable City permit, the City shall notify Grantee and provide Grantee with sixty (60) days after the emergency has passed to re-perform the work in a City approved location in accordance with the applicable City permit, subject to the NESC.

SECTION 5. SUPPLYING MAPS.

Grantee shall maintain maps and data pertaining to the location of Grantee Facilities on file at its corporate offices or at an office in Oregon. After providing Grantee with twenty-four (24) hours prior notice, the City may inspect the maps (excluding Grantee proprietary information) at any time during Grantee's business hours. Upon request of the City and without charge, Grantee shall furnish current maps to the City by electronic data in read-only format showing the general location of Grantee Facilities, excluding Grantee proprietary information. Unless required by law, the City will not sell or provide Grantee prepared maps or data to third parties without written permission from Grantee. Upon request of Grantee, the City will make available to Grantee any relevant City prepared maps or data at no charge to Grantee.

SECTION 6. EXCAVATION. Subject to Sections 4 and 7, and after obtaining any permits required by the City, Grantee may make all necessary excavations within the Public ROW for the purpose of installing, repairing, upgrading or maintaining Grantee Facilities. The City shall inform the Grantee through the permitting process or earlier, if

possible, of any limitations enacted by the City on excavation in particular geographic areas within the City. Notwithstanding the foregoing two sentences, in the case of an emergency that cannot reasonably be addressed without excavation in the Public ROW, no permit shall be required prior to excavation and no limitations on excavation in particular geographic areas within the City shall apply for the Public ROW affected by such emergency; provided, Grantee complies with Sections 4 and 7. Should there be a direct conflict between any terms or conditions stated in a permit granted by the City and the terms of this Franchise, the terms of this Franchise shall control. All excavations made by Grantee in the Public ROW shall be properly safeguarded for the prevention of accidents. All of Grantee's work under this Section shall be completed in strict compliance with all applicable rules, regulations and ordinances of the City, the state and federal authorities. Should a customer of Grantee be required, pursuant to Grantee's tariff on file with the OPUC, to make excavations that are located in the Public ROW, the City agrees that Grantee shall not be responsible or liable for any failure by such customer to comply with any applicable rules, regulations, ordinances of the City and/or with City standards.

SECTION 7. RESTORATION AFTER EXCAVATION.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Except as otherwise provided for in this Section, Grantee shall restore the surface of the Public ROW disturbed by any excavation by Grantee to at least the same condition that it was in prior to excavation, but in any event to the generally applicable City standards published at the time. If Grantee excavates the surface of the Public ROW, Grantee shall be responsible for restoration of the Public ROW and the area affected by the excavation. If

Grantee fails to restore the Public ROW to at least the same condition that it was in prior to the excavation, the City shall give Grantee written notice and provide Grantee a reasonable period of time, not to exceed thirty (30) days, to restore the Public ROW. If the work of Grantee creates a public safety hazard as determined by the Public Works Director, Grantee may be required to repair or restore the Public ROW within twenty-four (24) hours notice from the City, or such time as agreed between the Public Works Director and Grantee, taking into consideration weather and other relevant factors. Should Grantee fail to make such repairs or restorations within the aforementioned time frames, the City may, after providing notice to Grantee and a reasonable opportunity to cure, refill or repave any opening made by Grantee in the Public ROW and the expense thereof shall be paid by Grantee. The City reserves the right, after providing notice to Grantee, to remove or repair any work completed by Grantee, which, in the determination of the Public Works Director is inadequate, using a qualified contractor in accordance with applicable state and federal safety laws and regulations. The cost thereof, including the cost of inspection and supervision, shall be paid by Grantee. In the event that Grantee's work is coordinated with other construction work in the Public ROW, the Public Works Director may excuse Grantee from restoring the surface of the Public ROW, providing that as part of the coordinated work, the Public ROW is restored to good order and condition.

SECTION 8. RELOCATION.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(A) Permanent Relocation Required by City – This subsection covers relocation of overhead Grantee Facilities that will remain

overhead, and underground Grantee Facilities that will remain underground. Subject to ORS Chapter 758, the City shall have the right to require Grantee to change the location of Grantee's Electric Light and Power System when necessary or convenient in the interest of the public, and, unless otherwise agreed, the expenses thereof shall be paid by Grantee. However, when the City requests a subsequent relocation of all or part of the same Grantee Facilities less than two years after the initial relocation that is necessary or convenient in the interest of the public, and not at the request of or to accommodate a third party, the subsequent relocation shall be at the expense of the City unless the relocation is necessitated by a natural disaster that is not precipitated by the actions of City or City's agent. The City agrees to provide a suitable location in the Public ROW for Grantee Facilities that meets Grantee's engineering standards, the NESC and generally applicable standards published by the City in order for Grantee to maintain sufficient service. If sufficient space is not available in the Public ROW for Grantee Facilities, Grantee and the City shall cooperate to enable the City to obtain sufficient easements from private property owners to accommodate Grantee Facilities in order to maintain service and permit upgrades of Grantee Facilities. Should Grantee fail to remove or relocate any such Grantee Facilities within sixty (60) days after the date established by the City, or a mutually agreed upon time period which, except in the event of public emergency, absent mutual agreement shall not occur sooner than sixty (60) days after the City provides written notice to remove/relocate to Grantee, the City may cause or effect such removal or relocation, performed by a qualified contractor in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

accordance with applicable state and federal safety laws and regulations and Grantee's standards, and the expense thereof shall be paid by Grantee.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- **(B) Notice.** The City will endeavor to provide as much notice prior to requiring Grantee to relocate Grantee Facilities as possible. The notice shall specify the date by which the existing Grantee Facilities must be removed or relocated. Nothing in this provision shall prevent the City and Grantee from agreeing, either before or after notice is provided, to a schedule for relocation.
- (C) Permanent Relocation Undergrounding. As permitted by, and in accordance with City ordinance and any applicable law, administrative rule, or regulation, the City may require Grantee to convert any overhead Grantee Facilities to underground Grantee Facilities at the same or different locations, subject to Grantee's engineering and safety standards. This subsection shall not apply to Grantee Facilities used for or in connection with the transmission of electric energy at nominal voltages in excess of 35,000 volts or to pedestals, cabinets or other related above-ground equipment, except that Grantee shall convert specific pedestals, cabinets or other related above-ground equipment to underground if the City agrees to pay a "premium charge" that equals the difference between the cost of the standard above-ground equipment and the cost of the version of such equipment that meets Grantee's engineering and safety standards for placement underground. Any such relocation shall be consistent with applicable long-term development plans or projects of the City, or as approved by the City. The expense of such a conversion shall be paid by Grantee, and Grantee may recover its costs from its customers in

accordance with state law, administrative rule, or regulation. The City may designate that Grantee collect such costs from only a portion of its customers within the boundaries of the City in accordance with OAR 860-022-0046(4) as it may be amended from time to time. The City agrees to provide a suitable location in the Public ROW that meets Grantee's engineering standards, the NESC and generally applicable standards published by the City in order for Grantee to maintain sufficient service. If sufficient space is not available in the Public ROW, then the City will obtain sufficient easements from private property owners to accommodate Grantee Facilities in order to maintain service and permit upgrades of Grantee Facilities. Nothing in this subsection prevents the City and Grantee from agreeing to a different form of cost recovery consistent with applicable statutes, administrative rules, City Code or City Charter and Grantee's tariff on file with the OPUC on a case-by-case basis.

(D) Temporary Relocation at Request of Third Parties.

Whenever it is necessary to temporarily relocate or rearrange any Grantee Facility in order to permit the passage of any building, machinery or other object, Grantee shall perform the work after receiving sixty (60) business days written notice from the persons desiring to move the building, machinery or other object. The notice shall: (1) demonstrate that the third party has acquired at its expense all necessary permits from the City; (2) detail the route of movement of the building, machinery, or other object; (3) provide that the person requesting the temporary relocation shall be responsible for Grantee's costs; (4) provide that the requestor shall indemnify and hold harmless the City and Grantee from any and all

damages or claims resulting either from the moving of the building, machinery or other object or from the temporary relocation of Grantee Facilities; and (5) be accompanied by a cash deposit or other security acceptable to Grantee for the costs of relocation. Grantee in its sole discretion may waive the security obligation. The cash deposit or other security shall be in an amount reasonably calculated by Grantee to cover Grantee's costs of temporary relocation and restoration. All temporary relocations under this subsection shall comply with ORS 757.805.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(E) Temporary Relocation at Request of City. Subject to ORS Chapter 758, the City may require Grantee to temporarily remove and relocate Grantee Facilities, subject to Grantee's engineering and safety standards, by giving sixty (60) days notice to Grantee. Prior to such relocation, the City agrees to provide a suitable location in the Public ROW, as mutually agreed, or a temporary construction easement that meets Grantee's engineering standards, the NESC and generally applicable standards published by the City, and that allows the Grantee to place its Facilities on the easement in order for Grantee to maintain sufficient service until such time as Grantee moves its Facilities to their permanent location. The City will assist Grantee in acquiring easements from private property owners if a sufficient location is not available in the Public ROW that meets Grantee's engineering standards and NESC requirements, or the City has not obtained construction easements for the public project necessitating the temporary relocation. The cost of temporary removal or relocation of Grantee Facilities that is necessary or convenient for public projects, as well as the cost of replacing Grantee Facilities in the permanent location, shall be paid by Grantee. However, when the City requests a subsequent relocation of all or part of the same Grantee Facilities occurring less than two years after the initial relocation, that is necessary or convenient for a public project and not at the request of or to accommodate a third party request, the subsequent relocation shall be at the expense of the City.

(F) Permanent Relocation at Request of Third Party. In the event that any relocation is requested by or is to accommodate a third party, Grantee shall seek reimbursement from the third party and not from the City. Such relocation shall be consistent with any applicable long-term development plan or projection of the City or approved by the City; however, if relocation of Grantee Facilities is caused or required by the conditions placed by the City on approval for projects of third parties, such relocation shall in no event fall under the provisions of subsections (A), (C) or (E) of this Section 8. The City and Grantee agree to cooperate to minimize the economic impact of such relocation on each Party.

SECTION 9. PUBLIC ROW VACATION.

If all or a portion of the Public ROW used by Grantee is vacated by the City during the Term, upon request and if reasonably possible, the City shall either condition the approval of the vacation on the reservation of an easement for Grantee Facilities in their then-current location that prohibits any use of the vacated property that interferes with Grantee's full enjoyment and use of its easement, or permit Grantee Facilities to remain in a PUE. Upon request, the City will cooperate with Grantee to identify alternative locations within the Public ROW for Grantee Facilities if they are not permitted to remain in the vacated area.

SECTION 10. CITY PUBLIC WORKS AND IMPROVEMENTS.

Nothing in this Franchise shall be construed in any way to prevent the City from excavating, grading, paving, planking, repairing, widening, altering, or completing any work that may be needed or convenient in the Public ROW that is consistent with the NESC. The City shall coordinate any such work with Grantee to avoid, to the extent reasonably foreseeable, any obstruction, injury or restrictions on the use by Grantee of any Grantee Facilities, and the City shall be responsible for the costs to repair any damage to Grantee Facilities arising out of such work. Nothing in this Section relieves Grantee from its obligations stated in Section 8.

SECTION 11. USE OF GRANTEE FACILITIES.

City shall enter into attachment agreements with Grantee and obtain permits to string wires on Grantee's poles or run wires in Grantee's trenches and/or conduit for municipal purposes and to attach fire and police alarm and communication equipment to Grantee's poles, provided that such wires and equipment: a) do not unreasonably interfere with Grantee operations; b) conform to the NESC; and c) the City's excess capacity on such wires and equipment is not leased to, sold to or otherwise used by nongovernmental third parties. Grantee shall not charge the City for such attachments to its poles or in its conduits; however, the City shall be responsible to pay for any make-ready and inspections Grantee must perform in order to provide access to Grantee Facilities for City wires and equipment in accordance with the NESC. Should any of the City's attachments to Grantee Facilities violate the NESC, the City shall work with Grantee to address and correct such violations in an agreed-upon period of time. The City shall indemnify and hold Grantee harmless from loss or damage resulting from the presence of City's wires and equipment on or in Grantee Facilities. For purposes of this Franchise, "make-ready" shall mean engineering or construction activities necessary to make a pole, conduit, or other support equipment available for a new attachment, attachment modifications, or additional facilities.

SECTION 12. PAYMENT FOR USE OF PUBLIC ROW.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(A) Use of Public ROW. In consideration for its use of the Public ROW in accordance with the terms of this Franchise, Grantee agrees to pay the City an amount equal to 3 ½ percent of the Gross Revenue received by Grantee from its customers within the City. The payment for each year shall be based on the Gross Revenue collected by Grantee during the previous calendar year from Grantee's customers, and shall be paid on an annual basis [for Grantees' rights under this Agreement for the full calendar year in which the payment is made]. To the extent permissible under state law and regulation, the payment imposed by this subsection shall be considered an operating expense of Grantee and shall not be itemized or billed separately to customers within the City. However, should the percentage of Gross Revenue permitted to be considered an operating expense of Grantee be increased by regulation of the OPUC during the Term of this Franchise, the City retains the right to require the percentage amount paid by Grantee under this subsection (A) to be increased, not to exceed the maximum amount permitted by regulation to be considered an operating expense by Grantee at that time.

(B) Property Tax Limitations Do Not Apply. The payment described in this Section 12 is not subject to the property tax limitations of Article XI, Sections 11(b) and 11(19) of the Oregon Constitution and is not a fee imposed on property or property owners by fact of ownership.

- (C) Privilege Tax. The City shall retain the right, as permitted by Oregon law, to charge a privilege tax based on a percentage of the Gross Revenue earned from Grantee's customers within the City in addition to the payment amounts set forth in subsection (A). The City shall provide Grantee at least ninety (90) days notice prior to such privilege tax becoming effective. Grantee shall follow state regulations regarding the inclusion of such privilege tax as an itemized charge on the electricity bills of its customers within the City. No later than forty-five (45) days following a calendar quarter, Grantee shall remit to the Director of Finance any privilege tax collected during the previous quarter and a statement showing the amount of Gross Revenues for such quarter.
- **(D)** Remittance of Annual Payment. Grantee shall remit to the Director of Finance on or before the first (1st) day of April of each year, the annual franchise fee payment. Payment must be made in immediately available federal funds. With its annual payment, Grantee shall provide the City a statement under oath showing the Gross Revenue for the preceding year.
- **(E)** Acceptance of Payment. Acceptance by the City of any payment due under this Section shall not be a waiver by the City of any breach of this Franchise occurring prior to the acceptance, nor shall the acceptance by the City preclude the City from later establishing that a larger amount was actually due, or from collecting the balance due to the City.

(F) Late Payments. Interest on late payments shall accrue from the due date based on Grantee's cost of debt as approved by the OPUC as of the due date, and shall be computed based on the actual number of days elapsed from the due date until payment. Interest shall accrue without regard to whether the City has provided notice of delinquency. If the late payment is discovered as a result of an audit, Section 13 shall apply.

- (G) No Exemption From Other Fees or Taxes. Payment of the amounts described in this Section 12 shall not exempt Grantee from the payment of any other license fee, tax or charge on the business, occupation, property or income of Grantee that may be lawfully imposed by the City or any other taxing authority, including but not limited to charges for plan review and fees for inspection, except as may otherwise be provided in the ordinance or laws imposing such other license fee, tax or charge.
- (H) Direct Access and Volumetric Methodologies. The City may, consistent with state law, direct that the payments made under this Section 12 be based on volume-based methodologies as specifically described in ORS 221.655 instead of the formula set out in subsections 12 (A) and (C). Notice must be given to Grantee in writing for the subsequent payments to be made using volume-based methodology. The volumetric calculation shall apply to payments made in one calendar year (based on January 1 to December 31 billings from the previous calendar year). The choice to use volumetric methodology must be renewed annually by the City. No notice is necessary if the City chooses to remain on the revenue-based calculation.
- (I) Payment Obligation Survives Franchise. If prior to the expiration of this Franchise the parties do not finish negotiation of a new franchise agreement, the obligation to make the payments imposed by this Section 12 shall survive expiration of this Franchise

until a new franchise agreement becomes effective and supersedes this Franchise. In the event this Franchise is terminated before expiration, Grantee shall make the remaining payments owed, if any, within ninety (90) days of the termination date.

SECTION 13. AUDIT.

- (A) Audit Notice and Record Access. The City may request a third party audit of Grantee's calculation of Gross Revenues for any time period more recent than the time period covered by the most recent audit. Within ten (10) business days after receiving a written request from the City, or such other time frame as agreed by both parties, Grantee shall furnish the City and any auditor retained by the City: (1) information sufficient to demonstrate that Grantee is in compliance with this Franchise; and (2) access to all books, records, maps and other documents maintained by Grantee with respect to Grantee Facilities that are necessary for the City to perform such audit. Grantee shall provide access to such information to City within the City during regular Grantee business hours.
- (B) Audit Payment. If the City's audit shows that the amounts due to the City are higher than those based on the Grantee's calculation of Gross Revenue, then Grantee shall make a payment for the difference within sixty (60) days after the delivery to Grantee of the audit results. In addition to paying any underpayment, Grantee shall pay interest at the statutory rate designated in ORS 82.010 as it may be amended from time to time, but not penalties, as specified in this Franchise, from the original due date. If the City's audit shows that the amounts due to the City are less than those based on Grantee's calculation of Gross Revenue, then the Grantee shall deduct its overpayment from the next franchise fee payment the Grantee makes to the City, including interest at the statutory rate designated in ORS 82.010, as it may be amended from time to

time, from the original due date. The City and the Grantee agree that they will split the cost of any third party audit conducted pursuant to this Section 13, and shall cooperate in good faith to select an acceptable third party auditor.

SECTION 14. TERMINATION AND REMEDIES.

- (A) By City for Cause. If Grantee ceases to maintain Grantee Facilities in accordance with the maintenance commitments outlined in the Service Quality Measures Review filed with the OPUC, and this causes an increase in the risk to the public of personal injury or property damage, the City shall notify Grantee and Grantee shall have thirty (30) days after the date of the notice to eliminate such risk or, if such risk cannot be eliminated within thirty (30) days, such reasonable time period as is required to eliminate such risk and Grantee shall bear all costs related to remedying the risk. If Grantee does not eliminate the risk in accordance with the preceding sentence, the City may then terminate this Franchise by providing Grantee written notice of termination.
- (B) By City if City Will Provide Service. The City may terminate this Franchise upon one year's written notice to Grantee in the event that the City decides to engage in public ownership of the electric facilities located in the Public ROW and the public distribution of electric energy to customers throughout the City in accordance with ORS 758.470.
- **(C)** City Reserves Right to Terminate. In addition to any other rights provided for in this Franchise, the City reserves the right, subject to subsections 14 (E) and (F), to terminate this Franchise in the event that:
 - (1) The Grantee materially violates any material provision of this Franchise;

| 1 | (2) The Grantee is found by a court of competent jurisdiction |
|----|--|
| 2 | to have practiced any material fraud or deceit upon the City; |
| 3 | (3) There is a final determination that Grantee has failed, |
| 4 | refused, neglected or is otherwise unable to obtain or |
| 5 | maintain Grantee's service territory designation required by |
| 6 | any federal or state regulatory body regarding Grantee's |
| 7 | operation of Grantee's Electric Light and Power System or |
| 8 | (4) Grantee becomes unable or unwilling to pay its debts, or |
| 9 | is adjudged bankrupt. |
| 10 | (D) Material Provisions. For purposes of this Section 14, the |
| 11 | following are material provisions of this Franchise, allowing the City |
| 12 | to exercise its rights under this Section 14 or as set forth elsewhere |
| 13 | in this Franchise: |
| 14 | (1) The invalidation, failure to pay or any suspension of |
| 15 | Grantee's payments of franchise fees or privilege taxes to |
| 16 | the City for use of the Public ROW under this Franchise; |
| 17 | (2) Any failure by Grantee to submit timely reports as may be |
| 18 | requested by the City, regarding the calculation of its |
| 19 | franchise fees or privilege taxes paid or to be paid to the |
| 20 | City; |
| 21 | (3) Any failure by Grantee to maintain the liability insurance |
| 22 | or self insurance required under this Franchise; |
| 23 | (4) Any failure by Grantee to provide copies of requested |
| 24 | information as provided under Sections 4, 5, and 13 above; |
| 25 | and |
| 26 | (5) Any failure by Grantee to otherwise substantially comply |
| 27 | with the requirements of Section 4 through Section 20 of this |
| 28 | Franchise, unless otherwise agreed. |

- (E) Notice and Opportunity to Cure. The City shall provide Grantee thirty (30) days prior written notice of its intent to exercise its rights under this Section 14, stating the reasons for such action. If Grantee cures the basis for termination or if the Grantee initiates efforts satisfactory to the City to remedy the basis for termination and the efforts continue in good faith within the thirty (30) day notice period, the City shall not exercise its remedy rights. If Grantee fails to cure the basis for termination or if the Grantee does not undertake and/or maintain efforts satisfactory to the City to remedy the basis for termination within the thirty (30) day notice period, then the City Council may impose any or all of the remedies available under this Section 14.
- **(F) Remedies.** In determining which remedy or remedies are appropriate, the City shall consider the nature of the violation, the person or persons burdened by the violation, the nature of the remedy required in order to prevent further such violations, and any other matters the City deems appropriate.
- **(G)** Financial Penalty. In addition to any rights set out elsewhere in this Franchise, as well as its rights under the City Code or other law, the City reserves the right at its sole option to impose a financial penalty of up to \$500.00 per day per material violation of a material provision of this Franchise when the opportunity to cure has passed.

SECTION 15. ASSIGNMENT OF FRANCHISE.

Grantee shall not sell, assign, transfer, or convey this Franchise to a third party without the City Council giving its consent in a duly passed ordinance. Upon obtaining such consent, this Franchise shall inure to and bind such third party. Grantee shall not sell or assign this Franchise to an entity that is not authorized by the OPUC to provide electric service to retail consumers in the City or is not otherwise authorized to provide electric service to retail consumers under Oregon law. Prior to any proposed transfer, Grantee shall be in full compliance with this Franchise and the proposed transferee shall agree in writing to be bound by this Franchise. In the event Grantee is purchased by or merged into another entity and Grantee survives such purchase or merger as a public utility, Grantee shall provide notice to the City of such purchase or merger, but shall have no obligation under this Franchise to obtain the consent of the City Council for such purchase or merger.

SECTION 16. REMOVAL OF FACILITIES.

If this Franchise is terminated or expires on its own terms and is not replaced by a new franchise agreement or similar authorization, the City may determine whether Grantee Facilities are to be removed from the Public ROW or remain in place. The City shall provide written notice of any requirement to remove Grantee Facilities and shall provide Grantee sixty (60) days to comment on such requirement to move Grantee Facilities. Following consideration of any such comments, the City Council may issue an order requiring removal of Grantee Facilities within nine (9) months after such order is declared.

SECTION 17. NONDISCRIMINATION.

Grantee shall provide service to electric light and power consumers in the City without undue discrimination or undue preference or disadvantage, in accordance with Oregon law.

SECTION 18. INDEMNIFICATION.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Grantee shall indemnify and hold harmless the City against any and all claims, damages, costs and expenses, including attorney's fees and costs, to which the City may be subjected as a result of any negligent or willful misconduct of Grantee, or its affiliates, officers, employees, agents, contractors or subcontractors, arising out of the rights and privileges granted by this Franchise. The obligations imposed by this Section are intended to survive termination of this Franchise.

SECTION 19. INSURANCE.

Grantee shall obtain and maintain in full force and effect, for the entire Term, the following insurance covering risks associated with Grantee's ownership and use of Grantee Facilities in the Public ROW:

- **(A)** Commercial General Liability insurance covering all operations by or on behalf of Grantee for Bodily Injury and Property Damage, including Completed Operations and Contractors Liability coverage, in an amount not less than Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000.00) per occurrence and in the aggregate.
- **(B)** Business Automobile Liability insurance to cover any vehicles used in connection with its activities under this Franchise, with a combined single limit not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00) per accident.
- **(C)** Workers' Compensation coverage as required by law and Employer's Liability Insurance with limits of \$1,000,000.

With the exception of Workers' Compensation and Employers Liability coverage, Grantee shall name the City as an additional insured on all applicable policies. All insurance policies shall provide that they shall not be canceled or modified unless thirty (30)

days prior written notice is provided to the City. Grantee shall provide the City with a certificate of insurance evidencing such coverage as a condition of this Franchise and shall provide updated certificates upon request.

(D) In Lieu of Insurance. In lieu of the insurance policies required by this Section 19, Grantee shall have the right to self-insure any and all of the coverage outlined hereunder. If Grantee elects to self-insure, it shall do so in an amount at least equal to the coverage requirements of this Section 19 in a form acceptable to the City. Grantee shall provide proof of self-insurance to the City before this Franchise takes effect and thereafter upon request by the City.

SECTION 20. DAMAGE TO FACILITIES.

The City shall not be liable for any consequential damages or losses resulting from any damage to or loss of any facility as a result of or in connection with any work by or for the City unless the damage or loss is the direct and proximate result of willful, intentionally tortious, negligent or malicious acts or omissions by the City, its employees, or agents. In such case, the City shall indemnify and hold harmless Grantee against any and all claims, damages, costs and expenses, including attorney's fees and costs, arising therefrom, subject to any applicable limitations in the Oregon Constitution and the Oregon Tort Claims Act. The obligations imposed by this Section are intended to survive termination of this Franchise.

SECTION 21. LIMITATION ON PRIVILEGES.

All rights and authority granted to Grantee by the City under this Franchise are conditioned on the understanding and agreement

that the privileges in the Public ROW shall not be an enhancement of Grantee's properties or an asset or item of ownership or property right of Grantee.

SECTION 22. FRANCHISE NOT EXCLUSIVE.

This Franchise is not exclusive and shall not be construed to limit the City from granting rights, privileges and authority to other persons similar to or different from those set forth in this Franchise.

SECTION 23. REMEDIES AND PENALTIES NOT EXCLUSIVE.

All remedies and penalties under this Franchise, including termination, are cumulative and not exclusive, and the recovery or enforcement by one available remedy or imposition of a penalty is not a bar to recovery or enforcement by any other remedy or imposition of any other penalty. The City reserves the right to enforce the penal provisions of any City ordinance or resolution and to avail itself to any and all remedies available at law or in equity. Failure to enforce any term, condition or obligation of this Franchise shall not be construed as a waiver of a breach of any term, condition or obligation of this Franchise. A specific waiver of a particular breach of any term, condition or obligation of this Franchise shall not be a waiver of any other, subsequent or future breach of the same or any other term, condition or obligation of this Franchise.

SECTION 24. SEVERABILITY CLAUSE.

If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or other portion of this Franchise is, for any reason, held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, all portions of this Franchise that are not held to be invalid or unconstitutional

shall remain in effect until this Franchise is terminated or expired. After any declaration of invalidity or unconstitutionality of a portion of this Franchise, either party may demand that the other party meet to discuss amending the terms of this Franchise to conform to the original intent of the parties. If the parties are unable to agree on a revised franchise agreement within ninety (90) days after a portion of this Franchise is found to be invalid or unconstitutional, either party may terminate this Franchise by delivering one hundred and eighty (180) days notice to the other party.

SECTION 25. ACCEPTANCE.

Within thirty (30) days after the ordinance adopting this Franchise is passed by the City Council, Grantee shall file with the City Recorder its written unconditional acceptance or rejection of this Franchise. If Grantee files a rejection, or fails to file a written unconditional acceptance, this Franchise shall be null and void.

SECTION 26. NOTICE.

Any notice provided for under this Franchise shall be sufficient if in writing and (1) delivered personally to the following addressee, (2) deposited in the United States mail, postage prepaid, certified mail, return receipt requested, (3) sent by overnight or commercial air courier (such as Federal Express or UPS), or (4) sent by facsimile transmission with verification of receipt and a copy deposited in the United States mail, addressed as follows, or to such other address as the receiving party hereafter shall specify in writing:

If to the City: **Gregory Taylor Mayor**, City of Aurora

21420 Main St NE

| 1 | Aurora, OR 97002 |
|----|---|
| 2 | FAX # 503-678-2758 |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | With a copy to: City Attorney, Dennis Koho |
| 6 | P.O. Box 20790 |
| 7 | Keizer, OR 97307 |
| 8 | FAX # 503-390-3506 |
| 9 | If to the Grantee: Government Affairs |
| 10 | Portland General Electric Company |
| 11 | 121 SW Salmon St, 1WTC0301 |
| 12 | Portland, OR 97204 |
| 13 | FAX: (503) 464-2354 |
| 14 | With a copy to: Portland General Electric Company |
| 15 | Attn: General Counsel |
| 16 | One World Trade Center, 17 th Floor |
| 17 | 121 SW Salmon Street |
| 18 | Portland, Oregon 97204 |
| 19 | FAX: (503) 464-2200 |
| 20 | Any such notice, communication or delivery shall be deemed effective and |
| 21 | delivered upon the earliest to occur of actual delivery, three (3) business days |
| 22 | after depositing in the United States mail, one (1) business day after shipment by |
| 23 | commercial air courier or the same day as confirmed facsimile transmission (or |
| 24 | the first business day thereafter if faxed on a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday). |
| 25 | SECTION 27. PUBLIC RECORDS. |

| 1 | Documents and records submitted by Grantee to the City may be |
|----|---|
| 2 | subject to public inspection under the Oregon Public Records Law, |
| 3 | ORS 192.410 through 192.505. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | SECTION 28. AMENDMENT. |
| 7 | This Agreement may not be amended or modified except in writing |
| 8 | executed by all the parties to this Agreement. |
| 9 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties, through their duly authorized |
| 10 | representatives, have executed this Franchise as of the dates indicated below. |
| | PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC CITY OF Aurora COMPANY |
| 11 | By: By: Name: Name: Title: Title: Date: Date: |



MAINTENANCE PROPOSAL FOR CITY OF AURORA

February 22, 2013

Submitted to Greg Taylor 21420 Main St NE Aurora Oregon, 97002

Phone: 503-678-1283

E-Mail: taylor@ciaurora.or.us

Job Location city park

Triple T Inc. hereby proposes to maintain the above -referenced property as per the attached Residential / Commercial service plan, beginning:

April 31, 2013 and ending May 31, 2014

All work will be accomplished in a professional and workmanlike manner for the sum of \$ 660.00 per month, payable on the 1st of each month.

Any additional work requested, but not identified on the service plan attached, will be bid on separately and invoiced accordingly. The hourly rate for maintenance services is \$ 45.00 per man hr.

If for any reason either party desires to terminate this contract, a thirty (30) day notice must be provided.

This is a every other week mowing, or as needed due to water and fertilizing applications. with weekly service thru the park with debris removal and leaf / needle control in the wooded area. Includes weed control in beds. Blowing side walks. Picking up garbage debris that will fit into onsite garbage cans. See attached service sheet.

This contract is only valid with the addendum of page 2

| Proposal by Joe Fidanzo Acceptance date | Accepted by |
|---|-------------|
| Attachment: service plan | |
| License # 7903 | |



Monthly Residential/Commercial Service Plan

| Service | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUNE | JULY | AUG | SEPT | OCT | NOV | DEC | TOTAL |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Mowing | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 41 |
| Edging | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 24 |
| Fertilize Turf | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 6 |
| Post Emer Turf | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 2 |
| Sweep/Blow Walks | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 53 |
| Hand Weed Beds | | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | | | 34 |
| Leaf Control | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 15 |
| Fertilize Shrubs | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 2 |
| Irrigation Check | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | 2 |

Notes:

- 1. All hedge or tree work is limited to within 10 feet of soil level.
- 2. Care of annuals, perennials and vegetable gardens are not included in this proposal.
- 3. Winter Mowing is dependent upon favorable weather.
- 4. Pruning will be done as needed.

The schedule shown above is approximate, depending on weather conditions.